

RESEARCH ARTICLE

FLORISTIC DIVERSITY AND SPECIES DISTRIBUTION IN DIFFERENT FOREST STANDS IN NORTHERN CHHATTISGARH, INDIA

Annpurna Devi and Manoj Kumar Jhariya*

Department of Farm Forestry, Sant Gahira Guru Vishwavidyalaya,
Sarguja, Ambikapur- 497001 (C.G.), India

Email: manu9589@gmail.com

Received-18.05.2026, Revised-09.06.2026, Accepted-27.06.2026

Abstract: The understory or vegetation beneath the forest canopy, or undergrowth, flourishes under the stratum of the forest stand and has significant distribution and impact on diversity, regeneration, nutrient cycling, and ecological functions. These are diverse life forms and have a substantial role in forest ecology, silvicultural aspects, and wildlife perspectives. Generally, the occurrence, distribution, and diversity of understory depend upon the canopy closure, light availability, site quality, and environmental conditions that can favor or limit it in a particular region. The present investigation was carried out in five forest land uses (i.e., degraded forest, moderately dense forest, regenerated forest, dense forest, and teak plantation) in the Duldula region of northern Chhattisgarh. Stratified simple random sampling techniques were used for data collection. A total of 89 species (28 tree species, 14 shrub species, 17 climber species, and 30 herb species) were recorded in different forest stands of the Duldula region of Jashpur (C.G.). In the overall floristic richness tree, shrub, climber, and herb contribute 31.46%, 15.73%, 19.10%, and 33.71%, respectively, in total. The frequency class distribution showed mostly species restricted to Classes A and B, reflecting their infrequent or moderate distribution. The origin of species or nativity analysis reflects that most of the species that occurred in different forest stands are native to India and South Asia. These floristic resources need to be preserved, conserved, and protected for future generations and to achieve sustainability.

Keywords: Distribution, Floristic, Flora, Diversity, Regeneration

INTRODUCTION

Forests are the most diverse and biologically rich ecosystems that support human wellbeing and their existence. Floristic representation of forest ecosystems is the key indicator of resilience and health. The forest composition reflects the assemblage of plant species in a given environmental setting, with specific associations among the diverse flora (Poudel and Devkota, 2022; Devi *et al.*, 2023; Tomar *et al.*, 2008 and Tomar, 2024). Species diversity is the variation of the stand represented by its assemblage among the plant community that affects and maintains the forest ecosystem structure and function (Tilman and Dowing, 1994; Jhariya *et al.*, 2024). Forest health is regulated by natural recovery through regeneration in space and time, which determines the status of future stands and their overall sustainability (Jhariya *et al.*, 2023).

Understanding the distribution, pattern, and species presence in different stands is key to assessing the vegetation dynamics, environmental gradient, and disturbance regimes. This information helps to develop management and conservation strategies and plan towards sustainability (Baghel *et al.*, 2025; Rajput *et al.*, 2025). The northern part of Chhattisgarh in India shows the tropical deciduous forest landscape with substantial diversity and

richness of biological resources. Despite their socio-economic-ecological role, these resources are degrading day by day due to biotic interferences and mismanagement. However, various scientific explorations have documented the species composition of central Indian forests, but a comprehensive database of northern Chhattisgarh is still a scarce resource and remains limited. Thus, this study highlights the floristic diversity, richness, distribution, and composition in different forest stands in line to facilitate a baseline database towards biodiversity conservation, restoration, and sustainable resource management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study sites placed at Duldula block of Jashpur district. The study was conducted in the Duldula region of Northern Chhattisgarh. The study area lies between 22°17'-23°15' N and 83°30'-84°24' E. The forest of the area is tropical dry deciduous type with domination of *Shorea robusta*. The climate is monsoon type with 3-4 months of critical dry periods. Several economically and medicinally important plants occur in the forest area. Highly mountainous and forested, Jashpur is known for its natural beauty and is rich in natural resources; the land is fertile, and forest products are in abundance.

*Corresponding Author

The forests of the Duldula region of northern Chhattisgarh are divided into different sites based on disturbance and canopy closure, viz., degraded forest, regenerated forest, moderately dense forest, dense forest, and teak plantation. Following local inquiry, the field investigation was done, followed by site condition monitoring. The vegetation (trees, saplings, seedlings, shrubs, climbers, and herbs) was identified through local inquiry with people, consulting the literature of the region. The data were collected, recorded, and quantified at the species level. The trees and saplings were analysed by randomly laying quadrats of size 10 m x 10 m, while the seedlings, shrubs, and climbers were assessed within the 5 m x 5 m quadrats in each site. The herb was assessed using a 50 cm x 50 cm quadrat. The seedlings and shrubs were measured at the basal region, while the climbers and herb were enumerated by the count method. The assessment of species rarity or commonness in the sanctuary area was conducted using frequency classes. In this classification, the percentage frequency of the species was classified as A, B, C, D, and E, where A represents rare, B represents low frequency, C represents intermediate frequency, D represents moderately high frequency, and E represents high frequency (common) (Raunkiaer, 1934). Further, the nativity of the species

and utilization were recorded using standard literature.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Floristic richness and diversity

A total of 21 tree species in different forest stands were recorded. A total of 5, 3, 7, 15, and 3 species under the tree layer were recorded for degraded forest stand, regenerated forest, moderately dense forest, dense forest, and teak plantation, respectively (Table 1). In the case of the sapling layer, a total of 7, 15, 13, 16, and 3 species were found in the degraded forest stand, regenerated forest, moderately dense forest, dense forest, and teak plantation, respectively. A total of 8, 10, 14, 16, and 5 species were recorded in the degraded forest stand, regenerated forest, moderately dense forest, dense forest, and teak plantation for the seedling layer. A total of 14 shrub species were found in different forest stands, and the highest number of species was reported in regenerated forest. A total of 17 climber species were recorded in different forest stands, and the highest number of species was recorded both for regenerated forest and dense forest. In the herb layer, a total of 30 species were recorded, and the highest number of species (14) was recorded for dense forest.

Table 1: Presence/Occurrence of the tree species in different sites

Species	Degraded Forest	Regenerated Forest	Moderately Dense Forest	Dense Forest	Teak Plantation
Tree Layer					
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> Wall.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Adina cardifolia</i> Hook.f.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> , Roxb.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Chloroxylon Swietenia</i> D.C.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Diospyros melanoxyton</i> Roxb.	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Gardenia latifolia</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Lagerstroemia parviflora</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	-	+
<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour.) C.B.Rob	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Madhuca latifolia</i> , Roxb.	+	-	-	+	-
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> , Roxb.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Saccopetalum tomentosum</i> H.F. & Thoms	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> Willd.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn.f.	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.)	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Tectona grandis</i> Linn. f.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Terminalia bellerica</i> Roxb	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W&A.	+	+	-	-	-
Sapling Layer					
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> Wall.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> , Gaertn.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	+	+	+	+	-

<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Cleistanthus collinus</i> , Benth.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> Roxb.	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Gardenia latifolia</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Grewia tillifolia</i> , Vahl.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> (L.) Pers.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Madhuca latifolia</i> Roxb.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Mitragyna parviflora</i> Roxb.	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	-	+	-	+	-
<i>Schrebera swietenoides</i> Roxb.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> , L.f.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn. f.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Stereospermum chelenoides</i> (L. fil.) DC.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Strychnos potatorum</i> Linn.	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.)	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Tectona grandis</i> Linn. f.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Terminalia bellerica</i> Roxb.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W&A.	+	+	+	+	-
Seedling Layer					
<i>Adina cardifolia</i> Hook.f.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> Wall.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	-	-	-	+	+
<i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> Roxb.	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Grewia tillifolia</i> Vahl.	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall.	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Lagerstroemia parviflora</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Madhuca latifolia</i> Roxb.	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Ougeinia oojenensis</i> Benth.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> Willd.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> , L.f.	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn.f.	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Strychnos potatorum</i> Linn.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.)	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Tectona grandis</i> Linn. f.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W&A.	+	+	+	+	-
Shrub Layer					
<i>Antidesma diandrum</i> Roth.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Calotropis gigantea</i> R. Br.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Carissa spinarum</i> A. DC.	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Casearia graveolens</i> Dalz.	+	+	-	+	-
<i>Caesalpinia crista</i> Linn.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Capparis aphylla</i> Roth.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Embelia robusta</i> Roxb.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Indigofera pulchella</i> Roxb.	+	-	+	-	-
<i>Indigofera tinctoria</i> Linn.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Lantana camara</i> Linn.	+	+	-	-	+

<i>Leea asiatica</i> (L.) Ridsdale	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Woodfordia floribunda</i> Salist.	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Ziziphus oenoplia</i> Mill.	+	+	-	-	-
<i>Ziziphus xylopyra</i> Willd.	-	+	+	+	+
Climber Layer					
<i>Acacia caesia</i> W. & A.	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Abrus precatorius</i> Linn.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Acacia pennata</i> Willd.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Atylosia scarabaeoides</i> (L.) Benth	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Bauhinia valhii</i> W. & A.	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Butea superba</i> Roxb.	+	-	-	-	+
<i>Cajanus scarabaeoides</i> (L.) Thouars	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Calycopteris floribunda</i> Lamk.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cayratia auriculata</i> (Roxb.) Gamble	-	-	-	-	-
<i>Combretum decandrum</i> , Roxb.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cryptolepis buechanani</i> R. & S.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cuscuta reflexa</i> Roxb.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Derris scandens</i> Benth.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Hemidesmus indicus</i> R. Br.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Smilax ovalifolia</i> Rox	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Olex imbricata</i> Roxb	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Ventilago calyculata</i> Tul.	-	+	+	+	-
Herb Layer					
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> (L.)	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> Burm.f.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Apluda mutica</i> L.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Apluda varia</i> Hack	+	-	+	+	-
<i>Blepharis maderaspatensis</i> (L.) B. Heyne ex Roth	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cassia absus</i> L.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Cassia tora</i> L.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Chrolophytum tuberosum</i> Roxb.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Chrysopgon montanus</i> Trin.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Crotalaria retusa</i> Linn.	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> Pers.	+	-	+	+	+
<i>Cyprus rotundus</i> L.	+	+	+	+	+
<i>Desmostachya bipinnata</i> Stapf.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Echinochloa colona</i> Link.	-	-	+	-	-
<i>Evolvulus nummularius</i> (L.)	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Eragrostis diarrhena</i> (Schult. & Schult.f.) Steud.	+	+	+	+	-
<i>Heteropogon contortus</i> Beauv.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> P. Beauv.	+	-	-	-	-
<i>Leucas aspera</i> (Willd.) Link	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> L.	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Oplismenus burmannii</i> (Retz.) P. Beauv	-	+	+	+	-
<i>Phyllanthus fraternus</i> Webster	-	-	-	-	+
<i>Psoralea corylifolia</i> Linn.	-	+	-	-	+
<i>Pteris vittata</i> L.	+	-	+	-	+
<i>Rungia parviflora</i> Nees	-	+	-	-	-
<i>Saccharum spontaneum</i> L.	-	-	-	+	-
<i>Setaria glauca</i> L.	-	-	+	+	-
<i>Themeda quadrivalvis</i> O. Kuntz.	-	+	+	-	-
<i>Trifolium sp</i>	+	+	-	+	+
<i>Urtica dioica</i> Linn.	-	+	-	-	-

Note: (+) = present, (-) = absent

A total of 89 species (28 tree species, 14 shrub species, 17 climber species, and 30 herb species) were recorded in different forest stands of the Duldula region of Jashpur (C.G.). In the overall floristic richness tree, shrub, climber, and herb contribute 31.46%, 15.73%, 19.10%, and 33.71%, respectively, in total. The highest contribution by herbaceous vegetation reflects the suitable microclimate, followed by canopy gaps that permit light to reach the ground and provide a suitable growing environment for the species. Herb species are highly responsive to disturbance and environmental regimes, making them a reliable indicator of ecosystem condition and forest recovery (Gilliam, 2007). After herbaceous vegetation, tree species possess higher flora, reflecting their dominant position in forest structure, maintaining the upper canopy and ecological services (Pretzsch, 2009). Climbers contribute to structural complexity and species interactions in tropical forest stands. They enhance vertical connectivity and biodiversity of the region (Schnitzer and Bongers, 2002). The lower comparative representation of shrubs than

others might be a result of competition, canopy architecture, and site conditions (Odum and Barrett, 2005).

Species Rarity and Commonness

The frequency class distribution entails the species rarity and commonness over the site (Table 2). The Raunkiaer class varied among different forest stands and vegetation layers, which showcases the variation in species admixture and regeneration prospects. In the degraded forest, tree layers reflect the absence of intermediate-sized classes; 40% of species showed rarity over the site, while low, moderately high, and common classes showed equal distribution (each 20%). In contrast, regenerated, moderately dense, and dense forests reflect higher concentrations of saplings, seedlings, and herb distribution in Class B, showing more stable vegetation. Under teak plantation, it reflects simpler distribution from lower to higher classes. The most common frequency class distribution is restricted to Classes A and B, reflecting its infrequent or moderate distribution, which is a common characteristic of tropical forests (Condit *et al.*, 2002).

Table 2: Frequency class distribution of species under different strata and sites

Site	Layer	Frequency class				
		A	B	C	D	E
Degraded Forest	Tree	2	1	0	1	1
	Sapling	4	1	1	1	0
	Seedling	3	2	0	2	1
	Shrub	0	3	3	0	0
	Climber	0	2	3	0	0
	Herb	0	4	3	1	0
Regenerated Forest	Tree	2	1	0	0	1
	Sapling	6	6	1	1	1
	Seedling	3	4	2	1	0
	Shrub	1	6	1	0	0
	Climber	2	2	1	0	1
	Herb	0	3	6	2	0
Moderately Dense Forest	Tree	2	6	1	0	1
	Sapling	3	3	4	2	1
	Seedling	2	6	4	1	1
	Shrub	0	3	2	0	0
	Climber	1	1	1	1	1
	Herb	1	8	2	1	0
Dense Forest	Tree	10	4	1	0	1
	Sapling	5	6	3	1	1
	Seedling	1	10	0	4	1

	Shrub	1	3	1	0	0
	Climber	0	4	1	0	1
	Herb	1	9	4	0	1
Teak Plantation	Tree	2	0	0	0	1
	Sapling	2	0	0	0	1
	Seedling	1	1	1	2	0
	Shrub	1	1	0	0	1
	Climber	3	2	0	0	0
	Herb	3	3	1	1	0

Note: A (0-20)= rare, B (20-40)= low, C (40-60)= intermediate, D (60-80)= moderately high, E (80-100)= high/common

Nativity analysis

The origin of species or nativity analysis reflects that most of the species that occurred in different forest stands are native to India and South Asia, reflecting strong indigenous characters of the floristic diversity (Table 3). Further, a few exotic taxa were found in

different layers, showcasing natural floristic integrity retained by the study forests besides a limited introduced species distribution. The dominance of indigenous taxa in northern Chhattisgarh imitates natural phytogeographical affinity, ecosystem stability and resilience (Pavoine and Ricotta, 2023).

Table 3: Nativity of species found in the study sites of northern Chhattisgarh

Tree Species		
Species	Family	Origin
<i>Adina cordifolia</i> Hook.f.	Rubiaceae	Southern Asia
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> Wall.	Combretaceae	India
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	Euphorbiaceae	Australia
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb.	Burseraceae	India
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	Anacardiaceae	South east Asia
<i>Careya arborea</i> Roxb.	Lecythidaceae	Indochina
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	Leguminosae	India
<i>Cleistanthus collinus</i> Benth.	Phyllanthaceae	Australia
<i>Chloroxylon Swietenia</i> D.C.	Meliaceae	Southern India
<i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> Roxb.	Ebenaceae	India
<i>Gardenia latifolia</i> Ait.	Rubiaceae	India
<i>Grewia tillifolia</i> Vahl.	Tiliaceae	Sri Lanka
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall.	Apocynaceae	Southern Africa
<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	Juncaceae	South east Asia
<i>Lagerstroemia speciosa</i> (L.) Pers.	Lytharaceae	Southern Asia tropical
<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour.) C.B.Rob	Lauranceae	India
<i>Madhuca latifolia</i> Roxb.	Sapotaceae	India
<i>Mitragyna parviflora</i> Roxb.	Rubiaceae	India
<i>Ougeinia oojeinensis</i> Benth.	Leguminosae	India
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	Euphorbiaceae	India
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	Leguminosae	West Indies
<i>Saccopetalum tomentosum</i> H.F.&Thoms	Anonaceae	India, Sri Lanka
<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> Willd.	Sapindaceae	Indo China
<i>Schrebera swietenoides</i> Roxb.	Dipterocarpaceae	India
<i>Semecarpus anacardium</i> L.f.	Anacardiaceae	India
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn. f.	Dipterocarpaceae	India
<i>Stereospermum chelenoides</i> (L. fil.) DC.	Bignoniaceae	South Asia
<i>Strychnos potatorum</i> , Linn.	Loganiaceae	Burma
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.)	Sapindaceae	India
<i>Tectona grandis</i> Linn. f.	Lamiaceae	South East Asia
<i>Terminalia bellerica</i> Roxb	Combretaceae	South East Asia
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	Combretaceae	South Asia

<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W&A.	Combretaceae	Southern & Southeast Asia
Shrub Species		
<i>Antidesma diandrum</i> , Roth.	Phyllanthaceae	China, Pakistan
<i>Calotropis gigantea</i> R. Br.	Apocynaceae	Shri Lanka, India
<i>Carissa spinarum</i> A. DC.	Apocynaceae	Africa
<i>Casearia graveolens</i> Dalz.	Salicaceae	Asia, China
<i>Caesalpinia crista</i> Linn.	Fabaceae	India, Africa
<i>Capparis aphylla</i> Roth.	Capparaceae	India, Africa
<i>Embelia robusta</i> Roxb	Leguminosae	India
<i>Indigofera pulchella</i> , Roxb.	Fabaceae	India
<i>Indigofera tinctoria</i> Linn.	Fabaceae	India
<i>Lantana camara</i> Linn.	Verbenaceae	American tropics
<i>Leea asiatica</i> (L.) Ridsdale	Vitaceae	West Asia
<i>Woodfordia floribunda</i> Salist.	Lythraceae	Shri Lanka, India
<i>Ziziphus oenoplia</i> Mill.	Rhamnaceae	Bangladesh
<i>Ziziphus xylopyra</i> Willd.	Rhamnaceae	Southeast Asia
Climber Species		
<i>Acacia caesia</i> W. & A.	Leguminosae	Bangladesh
<i>Abrus precatorius</i> Linn.	Fabaceae	India
<i>Acacia pennata</i> Willd.	Fabaceae	South-east Asia
<i>Atylosia scarabaeoides</i> (L.) Benth	Fabaceae	Australia
<i>Bauhinia valhii</i> W. & A.	Fabaceae	India
<i>Butea superba</i> Roxb.	Fabaceae	India
<i>Cajanus scarabaeoides</i> (L.) Thouars	Fabaceae	Africa
<i>Calycopteris floribunda</i> Lamk.	Combretaceae	Bangladesh, India
<i>Cayratia auriculata</i> (Roxb.) Gamble	Vitaceae	Africa, Asia
<i>Combretum decandrum</i> Roxb.	Combretaceae	India
<i>Cryptolepis buehneri</i> R. & S.	Apocynaceae	India
<i>Cuscuta reflexa</i> Roxb.	Convolvulaceae	India
<i>Derris scandens</i> Benth.	Fabaceae	India, Asia, Australia
<i>Hemidesmus indicus</i> R. Br.	Apocynaceae	India
<i>Smilax ovalifolia</i> Rox	Smilacaceae	China tropical
<i>Oxalis imbricata</i> Roxb	Oxalaceae	India
<i>Ventilago calyculata</i> Tul.	Rhamnaceae	South-east Asia, Australia
Herb Species		
<i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> (L.)	Asteraceae	Tropical America
<i>Andrographis paniculata</i> Burm.f.	Acanthaceae	India, Shri Lanka
<i>Apluda mutica</i> L.	Poaceae	Central Asia, China, Japan
<i>Apluda varia</i> Hack	Poaceae	Asia, India
<i>Blepharis maderaspatensis</i> (L.) B.Heyne ex Roth	Acanthaceae	Africa, Southeast Asia
<i>Cassia absus</i> L.	Fabaceae	India, Southeast Asia
<i>Cassia tora</i> L.	Fabaceae	Central America
<i>Chrolophytum tuberosum</i> Roxb.	Asparagaceae	Africa, India
<i>Chrysopogon montanus</i> Trin.	Poaceae	India, Southeast Asia
<i>Crotalaria retusa</i> Linn.	Asparagaceae	Tropical Asia, Africa, Australia
<i>Cynodon dactylon</i> Pers.	Poaceae	Africa
<i>Cyperus rotundus</i> L.	Cyperaceae	Africa, Central Europe, Southern Asia
<i>Desmostachya bipinnata</i> , Stapf.	Poaceae	Northern Africa, China, India
<i>Echinochloa colona</i> , Link.	Poaceae	Tropical Asia
<i>Evolvulus nummularius</i> (L.)	Convolvulaceae	Mexico, South America
<i>Eragrostis diarrhena</i> (Schult. & Schult.f.) Steud.	Poaceae	Tropical Africa, Subtropical Asia
<i>Heteropogon contortus</i> Beauv.	Poaceae	Southern Asia, Africa, Northern Australia
<i>Imperata cylindrica</i> P. Beauv.	Poaceae	Southern Asia, India, Australia
<i>Leucas aspera</i> (Willd.) Link	Lamiaceae	India
<i>Malvastrum coromandelianum</i> L.	Malvaceae	North and South America
<i>Oplismenus burmannii</i> (Retz.) P.Beauv	Poaceae	Zimbabwe

<i>Phyllanthus fraternus</i> Webster	Phyllanthus	Pakistan
<i>Psoralea corylifolia</i> Linn.	Fabaceae	India, Shri Lanka
<i>Pteris vittata</i> L.	Pteridaceae	Asia, Tropical Africa, Australia
<i>Rungia parviflora</i> Nees	Acanthaceae	India
<i>Saccharum spontaneum</i> L.	Poaceae	India
<i>Setaria glauca</i> L.	Poaceae	India
<i>Themeda quadrivalvis</i> O. Kuntz.	Poaceae	India, Nepal, Malaysia
<i>Trifolium</i> sp.	Fabaceae	Central Asia
<i>Urtica dioica</i> Linn.	Urticaceae	Europe, Asia, North Africa

Ethnobotanical Scenarios

The ethnobotanical inventory reflects that the recorded tree species are associated with diverse taxonomic groups, with varied parts used for economic and medicinal purposes. The plant part used reflects that bark was the most used than the other parts, showing a strong reliance on this for traditional healthcare. The medicinal utilization is predominantly associated with the cure of gastrointestinal disorders and peptic ulcers using the species *A. cordifolia*, *A. latifolia*, *H. pubescens*, *T.*

bellirica, *T. tomentosa*, *S. cumini*, and *P. emblica* (Table 4). Besides, several other medicinal uses (skin care, wound healing, pain, diabetes, various disorders, etc.) were also mentioned with the suitable species. The higher use of bark and leaves in traditional cure reflects their higher preference due to the availability of secondary metabolites in these parts (Yadav *et al.*, 2015; Painkra *et al.*, 2015; Tomar and Singh, 2006; Tomar, 2007; Tomar, 2009; Tomar, 2022 & Tomar, 2023).

Table 4: Species utilization and ethnobotanical uses of species

Tree Species	Plant Part Use	Utilization
<i>Adina cardifolia</i> Hook.f.	Bark	Bark for dysentery, bruises and wounds
<i>Anogeissus latifolia</i> Wall.	Bark, Heart Wood, Gum	Diarrhea, dysentery, skin diseases, jaundice
<i>Antidesma ghaesembilla</i> Gaertn.	Leaf, Branch, Fruit	Fevers, pain, gastrointestinal, timber and dye
<i>Boswellia serrata</i> Roxb.	Gum	Relieves joint pain, asthma & respiratory care, perfumery & cosmetics, paints, varnishes & inks
<i>Buchanania lanzan</i> Spreng.	Root, Leaves	Skin diseases, heal open wounds, cosmetics & soaps, food
<i>Cassia fistula</i> Linn.	Bark, Fruit pulp and Pods, Root, Leaves	Natural laxative, anti-inflammatory agent, constipation, joint pain, and timber source
<i>Chloroxylon swietenia</i> D.C.	Leaf, Stem, Bark	Skin infection treatments, insect-repellent essential oils, fungal skin infections, rheumatism, and painful joints
<i>Diospyros melanoxylon</i> Roxb.	Leaves, Bark, Root, Fruit	Digestive issues, skin eruptions, joint pain, and snakebites. Provides valuable leaves for the rural beedi industry, edible fruits
<i>Gardenia latifolia</i> Roxb.	Bark, Fruit, Timber	Anti-inflammatory, wound-healing, and natural coloring properties, natural dye, perfume, wood crafting
<i>Grewia tillifolia</i> Vahl.	Stem, Bark, Leaves	Healing wounds and treating ulcers
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> Wall.	Seed, Bark, Root	Treating severe diarrhea, amoebic dysentery, and skin conditions
<i>Kydia calycina</i> Roxb.	Leaf, Bark, Stem	Treating body pains, skin diseases, and joint aches
<i>Lagerstroemia parviflora</i> Roxb.	Bark, Wood, Leaf	Treat skin sores, carbuncles, and syphilis, coughs, asthma, and bronchitis, silk farming, dyes and tannins, fuel and gum
<i>Litsea glutinosa</i> (Lour.) C.B.Rob	Seed, Bark, Leaf, Flowers	Bone fractures and bruises, gastrointestinal relief, incense, agarbatti binding, soap and candle production
<i>Madhuca latifolia</i> Roxb.	Seed Oil, Leaves, Flowers, Bark	Soaps, traditional liquors and industrial alcohol, joint pain, rheumatism, and swelling

<i>Mitragyna parviflora</i> Roxb.	Leaf, Bark	Pain relief, wound healing, and timber production
<i>Phyllanthus emblica</i> L.	Fruit, Bark	Treats hyperacidity, peptic ulcers, and helps regulate blood sugar levels in diabetic care; immune support, high vitamin C and tannin content
<i>Pterocarpus marsupium</i> Roxb.	Bark, Leaves, Gum, Wood	Timber, dyes, tannins, cosmetics, diarrhea, dysentery, and oral ulcers
<i>Saccopetalum tomentosum</i> H.F.&Thoms	Seed, bark, leaves	Essential oils, carbohydrates, proteins, and essential vitamins
<i>Schleichera oleosa</i> Willd.	Seed, Bark, Leaves	Treat acne, skin itches, burns, and ringworm, relieve rheumatic and joint pains, biodiesel and fuel, lac production
<i>Shorea robusta</i> Gaertn.f.	Seed, Bark, Leaves, Resin	Diarrhea, dysentery, and weak digestion, oil and resin, cooking, making soaps
<i>Stereospermum chelenoides</i> (L. fil.) DC.	Root, Bark, Leaves	Anti-inflammatory, antimicrobial, and timber properties
<i>Strychnos potatorum</i> Linn.	Seed, Fruit	Eye to treat conjunctivitis, corneal ulcers, and watering eyes, paper and textiles
<i>Syzygium cumini</i> (L.)	Seed, Bark, Leaves, Fruit, Wood	Helps lower blood glucose, diarrhea, dysentery, and stomach cramps
<i>Tectona grandis</i> Linn. f.	Bark, Leaves, Fruit	Treating wounds, skin diseases, easing inflammation, blood purifier
<i>Terminalia bellerica</i> Roxb	Fruit /Pulp	For digestive trouble
<i>Terminalia chebula</i> Retz.	Fruit	Seeds for leucorrhea
<i>Terminalia tomentosa</i> W&A.	Bark, Wood	Diarrhea, dysentery, ulcers, fractures, and bleeding disorders, timber, sericulture, tannin and gum

CONCLUSION

The studied forest has high species presence and distribution reflecting a good regional biodiversity. Overall, the floristic diversity recorded in the Duldula region reflects its ecological significance and its utilization in traditional health care, economic and other uses. Considering the dominance of indigenous species and the limited distribution of many taxa, effective protection, conservation, and sustainable management are essential to preserve this valuable floristic diversity for future generations and to ensure long-term ecological resilience and sustainability.

REFERENCES

Baghel, Y., Singh, L., Jhariya, M.K., Singh, A.K., Singh, J.S., Chaturvedi, R.K. and Singh, E. (2025). Impact of Anthropogenic Disturbance on Storage and Flux of Carbon in Tropical Dry Deciduous Forest of Chhattisgarh. *Plant Ecology*, 226(6):673-687. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11258-025-01522-0>.

Condit, R., Pitman, N., Leigh, E.G., Jr., Chave, J., Terborgh, J., Foster, R.B., Núñez, P., Aguilar, S., Valencia, R., Villa, G., Muller-Landau, H.C., Losos, E. and Hubbell, S.P. (2002). Beta-diversity in tropical forest trees. *Science*, 295(5555):666-669. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1066854>.

Devi, A., Jhariya, M.K., Yadav, D.K. and Banerjee, A. (2023). Understorey diversity and forest soil properties in different forest stands in Northern Chhattisgarh, India. *South African Journal of Botany*, 162:171-182.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sajb.2023.09.012>.

Gilliam, F.S. (2007). The ecological significance of the herbaceous layer in temperate forest ecosystems. *BioScience*, 57(10):845-858. <https://doi.org/10.1641/B571007>.

Jhariya, M.K., Toppo, S., Singh, L. and Singh, J.S. (2023). Regeneration status and plant biomass under different fire regimes in the tropical forest of Chhattisgarh. *International Research Journal of Plant Science*, 14(6):1-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.14303/irjps.2023.47>.

Jhariya, M.K., Singh, L. and Toppo, S. (2024). Wildfires and carbon budget of certain seasonally dry forests in India. *Land Degradation & Development*, 35(12):3771-3789. Doi:10.1002/ldr.5166.

Painkra, V.K., Jhariya, M.K. and Raj, A. (2015). Assessment of knowledge of medicinal plants and their use in tribal region of Jashpur district of Chhattisgarh, India. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 7(1):434-442.

[Google Scholar](#)

Pavoine, S. and Ricotta, C. (2023). Identifying functionally distinctive and threatened species. *Biological Conservation*, **284**:110170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2023.110170>.

[Google Scholar](#)

Poudel, P. and Devkota, A. (2022). Vegetation Structure and Species Diversity in Community Managed Sal (*Shorea robusta*) Forest of Tanahun District, Nepal. *International Journal of Ecology and Environmental Sciences*, **48**:165-175. <https://doi.org/10.55863/ijees.2022.0103>.

[Google Scholar](#)

Pretzsch, H. (2009). Forest dynamics, growth and yield: From measurement to model. Springer. Pp. 1-664. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-88307-4>.

[Google Scholar](#)

Rajput, S.S., Yadav, D.K., Jhariya, M.K. and Banerjee, A. (2025). Phytosociology and soil attributes of different vegetation stands in Chhattisgarh, India. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **27**:1-28. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-025-06202-7>.

[Google Scholar](#)

Raunkiaer, C. (1934). The Life Form of Plants and Statistical Plant Geography. Clarendon Press ISBN 9978-40-943-2, Oxford.

[Google Scholar](#)

Schnitzer, S.A. and Bongers, F. (2002). The ecology of lianas and their role in forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **17**(5):223-230. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347\(02\)02491-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(02)02491-6).

[Google Scholar](#)

Tilman, D. and Downing, J.A. (1994). Biodiversity and stability in grassland. *Nature*, **367**:363-367.

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. and Singh, H. (2006). Ethnomedicinal uses of some weed plants from Baghpat district (U.P.), India. *Plant Archives*, **6** (2): 691-693.

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. (2007). Use of some medicinal plants to cure Migraine. *Journal of The Indian Forester*, **133** (2): 275-278.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.36808/if/2007/v133i2/1025>

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A., Singh, H. and Singh, V. (2008). Exotic elements in the flora of Baghpat district, Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Forestry*, **31** (3): 463-471. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54207/bsmps1000-2008-Q1N630>

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. (2009). Folk medicinal uses of plant roots from Meerut district, Uttar Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, **8** (2): 298-301.

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. (2022). *Natural Medicines: Ailments Inhibition by Teas, Infusions and Decoctions in Meerut Region of Uttar Pradesh, India*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, India.

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. (2023). Folk medicinal uses of *Andrographis paniculata* (Kalmegh) to cure malaria. *Journal of Non-Timber Forest Products*, **29**(4): 193-194.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54207/bsmps2000-2023-HTGY22>

[Google Scholar](#)

Tomar, A. (2024). *Plant Resources of Meerut College Campus, Meerut (U.P.) India: Trees*. Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehradun, India.

[Google Scholar](#)

Yadav, D.K., Jhariya, M.K., Kumar, A. and Sinha, R. (2015). Documentation and ethnobotanical importance of medicinal plants found in Sarguja district. *Journal of Plant Development Sciences*, **7**(5):439-446.

[Google Scholar](#)