

## RESPONSE OF MARIGOLD (*TAGETES ERECTA* L.) CV. DOUBLE ORANGE TO LIQUID FORMULATIONS OF EM CONSORTIA WITH GRADED LEVELS OF NPK ON FLOWER YIELD, QUALITY AND XANTHOPHYLLS YIELD

C.H. Ravi<sup>1\*</sup>, B. Hemla Naik<sup>2</sup>, Nagarajappa Adivappar<sup>3</sup>, Shivaprasad, S.G.<sup>4</sup> and N. Araulmani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Horticulture Officer, Office of Senior Assistant Director of Horticulture, Chamaraajanagara - 571313, Karnataka

<sup>2</sup> Professor & University Head (Horticulture, Food & Nutrition), UAHS Shivamogga Karnataka  
Email: [ravi.mangalaa@gmail.com](mailto:ravi.mangalaa@gmail.com)

Received-10.05.2017, Revised-26.05.2017

**Abstract:** The present investigation entitled “response of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Double Orange to liquid formulations of effective microbial consortia with graded levels of NPK on flower yield and quality traits” was carried out at Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Shivamogga, Karnataka, during 2014-15. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with 15 treatments replicated thrice. Studies showed significant effect on flower weight (8.37 g), flower diameter (8.04 cm), number of petals per flower (323.12), number of flowers per plant (91.34), flower yield per plant (572.86 g), flower yield per plot (20.62 kg) and flower yield per hectare (12.70 t) was recorded in the treatment which received 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Frateruria aurentia* (T<sub>14</sub>). Petal meal yield per kilogram of fresh flower (90.84 g), petal meal yield per hectare (1156.40 kg), xanthophyll content (42.21 g) per kilogram of petal meal and xanthophyll yield per hectare (48.61 kg) were also recorded maximum with the same treatment i.e., T<sub>14</sub> (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Frateruria aurentia*) compared to cent per cent RDF.

**Keywords:** Marigold, EM consortia, Flower yield, Quality, Xanthophyll

### INTRODUCTION

Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) occupying a prominent place in ornamental horticulture, is one of the commercially exploited flower crops belonging to the family Asteraceae. Its habit of free flowering, short duration to produce marketable flowers, wide spectrum of attractive colours, shape, size and good keeping quality have attracted the attention of flower growers. It is put to many uses like cut flowers, garden displays, garlands, bouquets and for worship. Apart from its significance in Ornamental Horticulture, it has been valued for other purposes too. Marigold is being cultivated today as commercially important source of carotenoid pigments. The principal pigment present in the flowers is xanthophyll, particularly lutein which accounts for more than 80 - 90 per cent and is present in the form of esters of palmitic and myristic acids (Alam *et al.*, 1968). Marigold carotenoids are the major sources of pigments for poultry industry as a feed additive to intensify the yellow colour of egg yolks and broiler skin (Scott *et al.*, 1968). The ground blossom meal (petal meal) or the extract, usually saponified for better absorption and is added to the poultry feed. These products are traded as ‘Aztec marigold’ or marigold extract as ‘Adoptinal’. In India, the present area under Marigold cultivation is 55,890 hectares with a production of 5, 11,314 metric tonnes. It is cultivated commercially in most parts of India. In Karnataka, the present area under Marigold cultivation is 9,100 hectares with a production of 74,900 metric tonnes (Anon, 2014). Presently, in our country the commercial extraction

of Marigold carotenoids is done in Cochin (Kerala), Hyderabad (Telangana), Satyamangal forest (Tamil Nadu) and Telagi near Harihar, Davanagere, Haveri, Kolar, Chikkmagalur district and around Bangalore (Karnataka). The contents are being regularly exported to Mexico, Peru, USA, Japan, Spain, Turkey, Poland, Italy, Australia, Canada and Africa. Consequently large area in Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra are under contract farming of Marigold for xanthophyll extraction.

Though the African marigold is one of the important commercial flower crops of Karnataka, its yield levels are quite low and hence there is a need to standardize the optimum dose of nutrients particularly in the form of organic and integrated nutrients for improving the soil structure, physico-chemical properties, yield and quality of flowers. The microbial inoculants have attained special significance in modern agriculture keeping view the increasing fertilizers cost and poor purchasing capacity of Indian farmers. Chemical fertilizers have temporary effect while biofertilizer have permanent effect without any production problem. Uses of composite biofertilizers increase the soil fertility considering the prospects of biofertilizers in the country. Effect of liquid biofertilizers on flower crops has not been thoroughly evaluated under Indian conditions. Accordingly, the present investigation was aimed to study the response of Marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Double Orange to liquid formulations of EM consortia with graded levels of NPK on flower yield and quality traits under transitional tract of Karnataka.

\*Corresponding Author

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

The present investigation was carried out in the Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, University of Agricultural and Horticultural Sciences, Shivamogga, Karnataka during the period from September 2014 to January 2015. Shivamogga is situated at 13° 58' North latitude and 75° 34' East latitude with an altitude of 650 meters above mean sea level. It comes under Agro-climatic Region-4 and Zone-VII (Southern Transitional Zone) of Karnataka. The experiment was conducted in red gravely loam soil, having a pH of 6.40. During the experimentation period the rainfall was 790 mm and the average maximum temperature is 31.23°C and minimum temperature is 18.97°C and relative humidity was 84.57 to 86.27 per cent. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block design with 3 replications and 15 treatment combinations viz., T<sub>1</sub>: 100 % RDF (C), T<sub>2</sub>: 75 % RD'N' + *Azotobacter* + 100 % RD'P' and 'K', T<sub>3</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Azotobacter*, T<sub>4</sub>: 75 % RD'P' + *Bacillus megaterium* + 100 % RD'N' and 'K', T<sub>5</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Bacillus megaterium*, T<sub>6</sub>: 75 % RD'K' + *Fratureia aurantia* + 100 % RD'N' and 'P', T<sub>7</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Fratureia aurantia*, T<sub>8</sub>: 75 % RD'N' and 'P' + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + 100 % RD'K', T<sub>9</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium*, T<sub>10</sub>: 75 % RD'N' and 'K' + *Azotobacter* + *Fratureia aurantia* + 100 % RD'P', T<sub>11</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Fratureia aurantia*, T<sub>12</sub>: 75 % RD'P' and 'K' + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* + 100 % RD'N', T<sub>13</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia*, T<sub>14</sub>: 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* and T<sub>15</sub>: 100 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia*. Liquid EM cultures having population of 10<sup>13</sup> cells/ml were inoculated by pouring uniformly @ 10 ml per/liter in furrows after seed sowing as seed treatment. Thirty days of healthy and uniform seedlings were used for transplanting. Seedlings were dipped in liquid microbial consortium @ 10 ml/liter of water for about 30 minutes and transplanting was done in the micro plots with a spacing of 60 cm x 45 cm at the rate of one seedling per hill. Well decomposed FYM @ 20 tonnes per hectare was applied at the time of land preparation. The recommended dose of 225:60:60 kg NPK/hectare was applied in the form of urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. One week after transplanting, 50 per cent N and full dose of P and K were applied in a circular band about 10 cm around each plant at a depth of 3 to 4 cm and remaining 50 per cent 'N' was applied in two split doses at 30 and 45 days after transplanting as a top dressing. All the recommended cultural operations were carried out during the course of study. The data recorded on flower yield and quality parameters were tabulated and subjected to statistical analysis (Sunderaraju *et al.*, 1972).

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The plants inoculated with *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* + 75 % RDF (T<sub>14</sub>) registered significantly more number of flowers (91.34) per plant and it was on par with T<sub>9</sub> (85.06). The treatment consisting of 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* (T<sub>14</sub>) gave significantly maximum flower yield per plant (572.86 g) and it was on par with T<sub>3</sub> (570.00 g) and T<sub>2</sub> (541.53 g). The plants supplied with 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* (T<sub>14</sub>) produced maximum flower yield (20.62 kg) per plot and per hectare (12.70 t) which was found on par with T<sub>3</sub> (19.16 kg and 11.80 t, respectively). Which resulted in 32.15 and 22.78 % increase in flower yield in T<sub>14</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>, respectively over 100 % RDF (T<sub>1</sub>) (Table 1). Whereas, 100 % RDF (T<sub>1</sub>) recorded least number of flowers (55.65), flower yield per plant (441.13 g), per plot (15.60 kg) and per hectare (9.61 t). The possible reason for better performance of yield attributes and higher yield could be due to the regular supply of nutrients leads to more vegetative growth leading to increase in photosynthetic area, which in turn resulted in more synthesis and accumulation of dry matter in the flower (Bosali *et al.*, 2014). Moreover, presence of growth promoting substances such as auxin, gibberellins and cytokinin due to presence of biofertilizers would have also contributed in development and accumulation of sink resulting in better growth and subsequently higher number of flowers per plant and higher flower yield per hectare. The results are in agreement with the earlier findings of Thumhar *et al.*, 2013 and Jadhav *et al.*, 2014 in Marigold, Patanwar *et al.*, 2014 in Chrysanthemum, Kirar *et al.*, 2014 in China aster and Sheergojri *et al.*, 2014 in Gladiolus.

Treatments varied significantly with respect to quality parameters (Table 2). The plants treated with 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* (T<sub>14</sub>) registered maximum flower weight of 8.37 g, which was significantly higher than all other treatments and it was on par with T<sub>11</sub> (8.04 g), T<sub>3</sub> (7.77 g) and T<sub>9</sub> (7.69 g). The flower diameter was recorded maximum (8.04 cm) when the plants were supplied with 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* (T<sub>14</sub>) followed by T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>11</sub>, T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>15</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> (7.64, 7.58, 7.57, 7.56, 7.45, 7.45, 7.43 and 7.43 cm, respectively). However, the above treatments were found on par with each other. The treatment T<sub>14</sub> (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia*) produced significantly highest number of petals per flower (323.12) and it was on par with T<sub>9</sub> (315.51), T<sub>15</sub> (309.30) and T<sub>3</sub> (300.10). Whereas, T<sub>1</sub> (100 % RDF) recorded minimum flower weight (6.44 g), flower diameter (6.61 cm) and number of petals per flower (231.73). The possible reason for increased quality might be due to better

physical condition of soil and increased population of microflora, thereby enhanced availability of nutrient through mineralization process. Moreover, biofertilizers produce the growth stimulating substances viz., auxin, gibberellins and cytokinins which contribute towards vigorous growth of the plant. This in turn increases photosynthesis and enhances food accumulation and also diversion of photosynthates towards sink resulting in better quality flowers. The earlier study of Panchal *et al.* (2010) and Swaroop (2011) also confirms these findings in Marigold, Kirar *et al.* (2014) in China aster, and Singh (2007) and Chaudhari *et al.* (2010) in Rose.

Different treatments significantly influenced on the petal meal yield and xanthophylls yield. The treatment T<sub>14</sub> which received 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* recorded maximum petal meal yield (90.84 g/kg) and it was found statistically on par with T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>,

T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>5</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> (87.42, 86.31, 84.56, 83.95 and 83.86 g/kg, respectively). The maximum petal meal yield of 1156.40 kg per hectare was recorded in the treatment T<sub>14</sub> (75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia*) and it was on par with T<sub>9</sub> (1031.37 kg/ha). Significantly higher xanthophyll content (42.21 g/kg) was recorded in the treatment T<sub>14</sub> supplied with 75 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* + *Fratureia aurantia* which was closely followed by (40.92 g/kg) 100 % RDF + *Azotobacter* + *Bacillus megaterium* (T<sub>9</sub>) and on par with each other. Inoculation of *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus megaterium* and *Fratureia aurantia* along with 75 % RDF (T<sub>14</sub>) produced higher xanthophyll yield of 48.61 kg/ha, which was significantly superior over rest of the treatments followed by T<sub>9</sub> (42.17 kg/ha). This resulted in 265.21 and 216.82 % increase in xanthophyll yield in T<sub>14</sub> and T<sub>9</sub>, respectively compared to T<sub>1</sub> (100 % RDF). The treatments T<sub>1</sub> with 100 % RDF reported

**Table 1.** Effect of liquid formulations of EM consortia on yield and its attributes of *Tagetes erecta* L. cv. Double Orange

Treatment		No. of flowers/plant	Flower yield/plant (g)	Flower yield/plot (kg)	Flower yield/ha (t)
T <sub>1</sub>	100 % Recommended dose of fertilizer (C)	55.65	441.13	15.60	9.61
T <sub>2</sub>	75 % RD'N' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + 100 % RD'P' and 'K'	76.01	541.53	18.89	11.64
T <sub>3</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	79.07	570.00	19.16	11.80
T <sub>4</sub>	75 % RD'P' + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + 100 % RD'N' and 'K'	67.46	510.26	17.56	10.81
T <sub>5</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i>	60.91	482.80	18.10	11.15
T <sub>6</sub>	75 % RD'K' + <i>Fratureia aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'N' and 'P'	68.66	507.26	18.39	11.33
T <sub>7</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	60.99	508.20	17.81	10.97
T <sub>8</sub>	75 % RD'N' and 'P' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + 100 % RD'K'	72.16	479.40	17.35	10.69
T <sub>9</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i>	85.06	532.00	19.12	11.78
T <sub>10</sub>	75 % RD'N' and 'K' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Fratureia aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'P'	74.67	529.46	18.58	11.44
T <sub>11</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	71.93	483.40	17.43	10.73
T <sub>12</sub>	75 % RD'P' and 'K' + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Fratureia aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'N'	64.83	489.73	17.70	10.90
T <sub>13</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	77.87	500.04	18.12	11.16
T <sub>14</sub>	75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	91.34	572.86	20.62	12.70
T <sub>15</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	65.26	475.65	17.43	10.74
S. Em ±		3.72	11.00	0.50	0.31
C. D. @ 5 %		10.79	31.88	1.47	0.90

**Table 2.** Effect of liquid formulations of EM consortia on quality traits of *Tagetes erecta* L. cv. Double Orange

Treatment		Flower Weight (g)	Flower diameter (cm)	Number of petals per flower	Petal meal yield (g/kg)	Petal meal yield (kg/ha)	Xanthophyll yield (g/kg)	Xanthophyll yield (kg/ha)
T <sub>1</sub>	100 % Recommended dose of fertilizer (C)	6.44	6.61	252.99	71.61	713.82	18.54	13.31
T <sub>2</sub>	75 % RD'N' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + 100 % RD'P' and 'K'	7.07	7.43	288.38	82.05	956.92	19.44	18.67
T <sub>3</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i>	7.77	7.64	300.10	86.31	1023.04	21.48	21.69
T <sub>4</sub>	75 % RD'P' + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + 100 % RD'N' and 'K'	6.87	7.43	278.37	77.27	837.55	37.09	30.99
T <sub>5</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i>	6.62	7.56	282.88	83.95	937.30	31.38	29.59
T <sub>6</sub>	75 % RD'K' + <i>Fratureia aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'N' and 'P'	7.12	7.27	270.07	81.66	925.98	33.08	30.78
T <sub>7</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Fratureia aurentia</i>	7.29	7.30	295.99	74.18	786.65	24.84	19.66
T <sub>8</sub>	75 % RD'N' and 'P' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + 100 % RD'K'	6.86	7.28	263.26	83.86	898.00	27.93	24.98
T <sub>9</sub>	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megatherium</i>	7.69	7.45	315.51	87.42	1031.37	40.92	42.17
T <sub>10</sub>	75 % RD'N' and 'K' + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Fratureia aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'P'	7.15	7.57	273.07	84.56	968.43	28.53	27.71

T <sub>1</sub> 1	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Frateuria aurentia</i>	8.04	7.58	278.17	80.95	870.27	19.75	17.32
T <sub>1</sub> 2	75 % RD'P' and 'K' + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Frateuria aurantia</i> + 100 % RD'N'	7.07	7.38	280.18	78.58	855.97	23.57	20.35
T <sub>1</sub> 3	100 % RDF + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Frateuria aurentia</i>	7.25	7.26	293.69	82.10	919.22	36.70	33.68
T <sub>1</sub> 4	75 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Frateuria aurentia</i>	8.37	8.04	323.12	90.84	1156.40	42.21	48.61
T <sub>1</sub> 5	100 % RDF + <i>Azotobacter</i> + <i>Bacillus megaterium</i> + <i>Frateuria aurentia</i>	7.25	7.45	309.30	82.87	893.99	27.04	23.93
S. Em ±		0.24	0.20	8.40	2.66	43.05	1.57	1.69
C. D. @ 5 %		0.69	0.60	24.34	7.70	124.72	4.55	4.91

minimum petal meal yield (71.61 g/kg), petal meal yield (713.82 kg) per hectare, xanthophyll yield (18.54 g) per kg petal meal and per hectare (13.31 kg). The difference in xanthophyll yield may be attributed to variation in flower yield and petal meal yield per hectare. The difference in the petal meal yield per hectare in the treatments may be attributed to the corresponding differences in yield components viz., individual flower weight, number of petals per flower, flower yield per plant and per hectare and petal meal yield per kilogram of fresh flower weight. The present results are in conformity with the research findings of Anuradha *et al.* (1990) and Naik (2003) in Marigold and Roelants (1973) in Carnation, who also observed higher number of petals per flower as well as petal meal yield and higher carotenoid contents.

## REFERENCES

- Alam, A. U., Cough, I. R. and Creger, C. R. (1968). Fatty acid composition of the xanthophyll esters of *Tagetes erecta* petals. *Lipids*, **3**: 183.
- Anonymus (2014). Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board, Gurgaon. pp. 286.
- Anuradha, K., Pampapathy, K. and Narayanan, N. (1990). Effect of nitrogen and phosphorous on flowering, yield and quality of Marigold. *Indian J. Hort.*, **47**(3): 353-357.
- Bosali, M., Kumar, P. and Kumar, S. (2014). Impact of integrated nutrient management on post-harvest and corm characters of *Gladiolus* cv. Novalux. *Ann. Hort.*, **7**(2): 109-114.
- Chaudhari, C. K., Jadav, R. G. and Masu, M. M. (2010). Effect of biofertilizers and their combinations with nitrogen fertilizer on growth, yield and quality of Rose (*Rosa damascene* L.). *The Asian J. Hort.*, **4**(2): 373-376.
- Jadhav, P. B., Singh, A., Mangave, B. D., Patil, N. B., Patel, D. J., Dekhane, S. S. and Kireeti, A. (2014). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Basanti Gaiinda. *Ann. Biol. Res.*, **5**(9): 10-14.
- Kirar, K. P. S., Lekhi, R., Sharma, S. and Sharma, R. (2014). Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on growth and flower yield of China aster [*Callistephus chinensis* (L.) Ness] cv. Princess. *Excellent Publishing House*, pp. 234-237.
- Naik, B. H. (2003). Stability analysis and standardization of production technology for flower and xanthophylls yield in Marigold (*Tagetes* spp.) *Ph. D. Thesis*, Uni. Agric. Sci., Dharwad, Karnataka (India).
- Panchal, R. V., Parekh, N. S., Parmar, A. B. and Patel, H. C. (2010). Effect of biofertilizers and nitrogenous fertilizers on growth, flowering and yield of white Chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum coronarium* L.) under middle Gujarat agro climatic condition. *The Asian J. Hort.*, **5**(1): 22-25.
- Patanwar, M., Sharma, G., Banjare, C., Chandravanshi, D. and Sahu, E. (2014). Growth and development of Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthem grandifloratzelev*) as influenced by integrated nutrient management. *An Int. J. Environ. Sci.*, **4**: 459-462.
- Roelants, A. (1973). Phosphorus fertilization of Carnation cv. Scania Red. *The effect of production and quality. Revue de l'Agric.*, **26**(5): 1011-1026.
- Scott, M. I., Ascarelli, I. and Olson, G. (1968). Studies on egg-yolk pigmentation. *Poultry Sci.*, **47**: 863.
- Sheergojri, G. A., Neelofar, Rather, Z. A., Khan, F. U., Nazki, I. T. and Qadri, Z. A. (2013). Effect of chemical fertilization and bio-inoculants on growth and flowering of Dahlia (*Dahlia variabilis* Desf.) cv. 'Pink Attraction'. *Appl. Biol. Res.*, **15**(2): 121-129.
- Singh, A. K. (2007). Response of integrated nutrient management on growth and flowering attributes in Rose. *J. Orn. Hort.*, **10**(1): 58-60.
- Sunderaraju, N., Nagaraju, S., Venkataramu, M. N. and Jagannath, M. R. (1972). *Design and analysis of field experiments*. Misc. Series No. 22, Uni. Agric. Sci., Bangalore, Karnataka (India).
- Swaroop, K. (2011). Influence of biofertilizers on growth and productivity of flower and seed yield of Marigold cv. Pusa Narangi Gaiinda. *J. Orn. Hort.*, **14**(3&4): 45-48.
- Thumar, B. V., Barad, A. V., Neelima, P. and Nilima, B. (2013). Effect of integrated system of plant nutrition management on growth, yield and flower quality of African marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) cv. Pusa Narangi. *The Asian J. Hort.*, **8**(2): 466-469.