# INTEGRATED WEED MANAGEMENT IN SYSTEM OF RICE INTENSIFICATION (SRI) FOR SECURED LIVELIHOOD

### Devendra Kumar Dewangan<sup>1</sup>, Shivam Soni<sup>2</sup>, Harish Kumar Netam<sup>3</sup>

Department of Agronomy<sup>1</sup>
Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding<sup>2</sup>
Department of Entomology<sup>3</sup>
Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya,
Raipur-492012, Chhattisgarh, India

**Abstracts:** Weeds not only cause quantitative, but also hamper the quality of produce owing to competition for nutrients, moisture, light and to some extent for space. The extent of yield reduction of rice due to weeds is estimated from 15-95 per cent (Gogai *et al.*, 1996).

Keywords: Livelihood, Rice, Weed

#### INTRDUCTION

In order to meet the requirement of growing population there is need to boost its productivity by proper method of crop establishment and weed management to secure vigorous plant growth and assuring higher yields. SRI increases the productivity of rice by changing the management of plant, soil, water and nutrients. Farmers generally control weed manually. The physical methods are costly, labour consuming and the advantage of manual weeding could only be achieved when it is performed timely. Thus, for effectively and economically control of mixed weed flora in field, an integrated approach of weed management is required. In view of this experiment on "System of rice intensification (SRI) as influence by integrated weed management for secured livelihood" was conducted with the objectives to find integrated approach of weed management in SRI.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The present experiment was carried out at Research cum-Instructional Farm, Department of Agronomy, IGKV, Raipur (C.G.) during *kharif* season of 2009. The experiment was conducted in Randomized Block Design (RBD). Rice variety "MTU-1010" was grown as a test crop. Rice seedlings of 14 days age were transplanted with a spacing of 20 x 20 cm. The crop was fertilized with 90, 60 and 40 kg N, P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> applied through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash, respectively. Organic manures as green manuring crop was grown and incorporated in soil at flowering stage.

## **RESULTS Floristic composition**

The weed flora of the rice field were: Alternanthera triandra, Echinocloa colona, Fimbristylis miliacea and Cyperus iria throughout the crop season.

#### Seed yield

It was also observed that all the herbicides treatments were effective and significantly enhanced the grain yield over control. The maximum grain yield and straw yield were also found under that postemergence application of Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT and it was closely followed by Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAT + MW performed on two ways at 35 DAT. The similar results of increased grain yield were too reported by Kolhe (1999), Rekha *et al.* (2003) and Moorthy (2002).

#### Weed dry matter production

Results revealed that post-emergence application of Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT was statistically at par with hand weeding (twice) at 20 and 40 DAT for controlling weeds effectively in system of rice intensification method of rice. Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAT + MW performed on two ways at 35 DAT were next in order. The maximum weed dry matter production was found under unweeded control.

#### Weed control efficiency

The highest gross return and B:C ratio was obtained from Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT followed by hand weeding and the lowest was obtained from unweeded control.

#### CONCLUSION

Application of Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha<sup>-1</sup>+ Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha<sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT was as effective as hand weeding. This was owing to high growth of crop as well as low crop-weed competition, better weed killing capacity of

herbicides and longer weed free period under these treatments.

**Table 1**. Grain yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>), Dry matter production, g(m<sup>-2</sup>) and weed control efficiency as influenced by integrated weed management under SRI

| integrated weed management under SKI                                                           |                       | Dry matter, g (m <sup>-2</sup> ) |         | WC      |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Treatments                                                                                     | Grain yield           | 60                               | At      | WC<br>E |
|                                                                                                | (q ha <sup>-1</sup> ) | DAT                              | harvest | L       |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> +CME+MSM @ 4 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20               | 41.16                 | 18.26                            | 132.85  | 52.05   |
| DAT                                                                                            |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxysulfuron @ 15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20 DAT. | 43.30                 | 14.68                            | 116.05  | 58.11   |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + CME+MSM 4 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20                | 45.32                 | 14.00                            | 113.35  | 59.09   |
| DAT + MW (one way) at 35 DAT                                                                   |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl @ 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxysulfuron @ 15 g ha <sup>-1</sup>            | 45.73                 | 12.73                            | 111.36  | 59.81   |
| at 20 DAT + MW (one way) at 35 DAT                                                             |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + Ethoxysulfuron 15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> +              | 48.30                 | 8.14                             | 80.07   | 70.93   |
| MW (Two way) at 20 and 35 DAT                                                                  |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl 60 g ha <sup>-1</sup> + CME+MSM 4 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20                  | 46.90                 | 10.82                            | 99.83   | 63.97   |
| DAT + MW (two way) at 35 DAT                                                                   |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Mechanical weeding (one way) -12, 25, 35 DAT.                                                  | 40.93                 | 20.07                            | 148.59  | 46.37   |
| Mechanical weeding (two way) -12, 25, 35 DAT                                                   | 48.11                 | 9.78                             | 92.20   | 66.72   |
| PoE followed by PoE Fenoxaprop- p –ethyl + CME+MSM @                                           | 45.77                 | 11.77                            | 105.48  | 61.93   |
| 4 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT                                                          |                       |                                  |         |         |
| PoE followed by PoE Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl + Ethoxysulfuron                                        | 51.85                 | 6.49                             | 69.91   | 74.77   |
| 15 g ha <sup>-1</sup> at 20 and 35 DAT                                                         |                       |                                  |         |         |
| Hand weeding – 20, 40 DAT                                                                      | 50.50                 | 7.54                             | 77.39   | 72.07   |
| Unweeded control.                                                                              | 21.12                 | 46.79                            | 277.05  |         |
| SEm ±                                                                                          | 0.83                  | 0.66                             | 4.17    |         |
| CD at 5%                                                                                       | 2.02                  | 1.94                             | 12.24   |         |

CME + MSM = Chlorimuron ethyl +Metsulfuron methyl: DAT =Days after transplanting: PoE =Post emergence: MW = Mechanical weeding

#### REFERENCES

Gogoi, A. K., Brown, H., Cussans, G. W., Devine, M. D., Duke, S.O., Fernandes, Q.C., Helweg, A., Labrada, R. E., Lanndes, M., Kudsk, P. and Steibig, J.C. (1996). Integrated weed management of rice in high rainfall region of India: Status and Prospects. *In*: Proceedings of the second International Weed Control Congress, Copenhagen, Denmark, 25-28 June. 1-4: 715-719.

**Kolhe, S.S.** (1999). Evaluation of low dosage-high efficacy herbicides Fenoxaprop-p-ethyl and ethoxysulfuron in direct seeded rice under puddle condition. *Oryza.* **36** (2):177-179.

**Moorthy, B. T. S. Saha S.** (2002). Bio-efficacy of Certain New Herbicide Formulations in Puddleseeded Rice. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*: **34**(1-2)