

EVALUATION OF SITE-SPECIFIC NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT APPROACH IN TRANSPLANTED RICE UNDER SUB-HUMID CONDITION OF SOUTHERN RAJASTHAN

Hargilas*

Agriculture Research Station (MPUAT), Banswara, Postal-327001, Rajasthan, India

Email: hargilasm73@gmail.com

Received-18.01.2016, Revised-27.01.2016

Abstract: Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) in a new approach that provides the proper quantity and timely supply of nutrients to the crop plants according to its requirement in the existing soil and climate. With this background, a field experiment was conducted on a fixed site at Agriculture Research Station (MPUAT), Banswara, Rajasthan, during two consecutive *kharif* seasons of 2008 and 2009 to evaluate the plant based SSNM strategy for rice crop. The experiment consisted of seven treatments with the application of different category of nutrients, including control and State Fertilizer Recommendation (SFR). SSNM treatment (T_4) gave a maximum grain yield (74.00 q ha^{-1}) which was recorded significantly 10, 12, 30, 55 and 58% higher compared to the Improved fertilizer recommendation (T_3), State fertilizers recommendation (T_2), SSNM-P (T_6), SSNM-N (T_5), and absolute control (T_1), respectively. The grain yield increased in T_4 could be recorded the maximum tillers (352 m^{-2}), Panicles (340 m^{-2}), grains ($150.30\text{ panicle}^{-1}$). The maximum B: C ratio (3.54) was also recorded with SSNM (T_4). The yield lower in N and P omission from SSNM treatments indicated that there is large response to added N but low response to added P due to variation in indigenous soil nutrient supply. Hence, high variability to applied N, P, K suggests the necessity of SSNM to improve the productivity of rice crop.

Keywords: Rice, SSNM, Grains yield, Nutrient

INTRODUCTION

Rice is one the most staple food of about 50% of the world's population and its area is concentrated mostly in South East Asia. Rice contributes around 45 per cent of India's total food grain production and it continues to hold the key for food sufficiency in the country. The sub-humid area of southern Rajasthan is also a major rice-growing zone during rainy season. Being the cereal crops, the nutrient requirement of rice is very high and due to imbalanced and unscientific nutrient management practice, the productivity of the crop is realized to decline with the available genetic resources. The conventional and injudicious fertilizer application practices are not only reduces nutrient use efficiency, but also causes nutrient imbalance in the soil resulting in decreased crop yield (Ladha *et al.* 2005). The productivity of rice may be increased by fine-tuning nutrient and crop management. Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) provides a field-specific approach for dynamically applying nutrients to crops as and when needed. This approach advocates the optimal use of indigenous nutrients

originating from soil, plant residues, manures, and irrigation water. Fertilizers are then applied in a timely fashion to overcome the deficit in nutrients between the total demand by rice to achieve a yield target and the supply from indigenous sources. An estimate of soil indigenous N, phosphorus (P), and K supply was obtained from omission plots situated in each field. There results from these plots were used as inputs in a model designed to estimate field-specific fertilizer requirements in the SSNM plots (Dobermann *et al.*, 2002). SSNM has been proposed an approach to tailor fertilizer application to match field-specific needs of crops to improve productivity and profitability (Buresh *et al.*, 2010, Dobermann *et al.*, 1996 and Wett *et al.*, 1999). This could be done by utilizing available information on indigenous nutrient supplying capacity, nutrient contributions from organic manures, irrigation water, rainfall and crop residue pools and finally crop nutrient demand for targeted yield of crop. Based on these considerations, the present investigation was carried out to evaluate the SSNM approach for rice under sub-humid condition of southern Rajasthan.

Table 1. Effect of different nutrient management options on growth and yield attributes of rice (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Plant height (cm)	Tillers m^{-2}	Panicles m^{-2}	Grains Panicle $^{-1}$
T_1 : Control (No NPK)	80.50	133.65	130.55	120.40
T_2 : State fertilizers recommendation (120-60-40 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O ha^{-1})	94.70	311.81	287.03	128.70
T_3 : State fertilizers recommendation (120-60-40 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O ha^{-1})	102.00	315.23	310.18	145.30

*Corresponding Author

T ₄ : Site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) (142-37-0 & 25 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O & ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹)	107.00	351.23	338.55	150.30
T ₅ : N omission (SSNM-N) (0-37-0 & 25 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O & ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹)	93.25	173.05	168.07	126.20
T ₆ : P omission (SSNM-P) (142-0-0 & 25 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O & ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹)	98.70	248.55	220.50	135.60
T ₇ : K omission (SSNM-K) (142-37-0 & 25 kg N-P ₂ O ₅ -K ₂ O & ZnSO ₄ ha ⁻¹)	100.40	332.95	318.72	152.30
CD (P=0.05%)	7.60	32.60	27.60	14.20

Table 2. Effect of different nutrient management options on growth and yield attributes of rice (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Straw yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Harvest index	Cost of cultivation (Rs ha ⁻¹)	Net return (Rs ha ⁻¹)	B:C ratio	Agronomic efficiency (%)		
							N	P	K
T ₁	31.12	46.08	40	23850	28930	1.21			
T ₂	65.10	85.48	43	26478	81758	3.09	54.25	108.50	162.75
T ₃	66.58	87.35	43	27438	83244	3.03	55.48	110.97	166.45
T ₄	74.00	93.80	44	26975	95525	3.54	52.11	200.00	
T ₅	33.22	48.17	41	25920	30222	1.17		89.78	
T ₆	52.00	73.80	40	26359	61201	2.32	36.62	140.54	
T ₇	73.68	92.56	44	26975	94689	3.51	61.40	199.14	
CD (P=0.05%)	3.20	3.42	1.0						

Table 3. Effect of different nutrient management options on nutrient uptake (Pooled data of two years)

Treatment	Nutrient uptake by grain (kg ha ⁻¹)			Nutrient uptake by straw (kg ha ⁻¹)			Nutrient uptake by straw (kg ha ⁻¹)		
	N	P	K	N	P	K	N	P	K
T ₁	31.12	6.85	7.78	27.63	5.53	63.56	58.75	12.37	71.34
T ₂	74.87	15.62	17.58	53.85	12.82	121.38	128.45	28.45	138.96
T ₃	81.23	17.31	17.98	56.78	14.85	126.66	138.01	32.16	144.63
T ₄	91.02	19.24	20.72	67.54	18.76	136.95	158.56	38.0	157.67
T ₅	37.21	7.64	8.31	27.46	8.19	67.44	64.66	15.83	75.74
T ₆	59.80	10.92	13.52	51.66	7.38	103.32	111.46	18.30	116.84
T ₇	91.36	18.42	19.16	65.16	16.72	131.44	157.08	35.08	150.59
CD (P=0.05%)	9.20	2.60	2.80	8.55	2.80	4.60	12.47	4.20	12.81

MATERIAL AND METHOD

A field experiment was conducted on fixed site at agriculture research station (MPUAT), Banswara, Rajasthan during two consecutive *kharif* season of 2008 and 2009 to evaluate the agronomic management of seven nutrient options on growth and yield of rice. The experimental site is geographically

situated at 23°33' and latitude, 74° 27' E longitude and altitude of 220 M above Mean Sea Level. It is covered under humid southern plain agro-climatic zone of Rajasthan, which falls under sub-humid climate with dry, hot summer and mild winters. The average rainfall of the season was 862mm. The soil of experimental field is clay loam in texture, slightly alkaline in reaction with contain low in organic

carbon (0.33%), low in available N (156.75 kg ha⁻¹), low in available phosphorous (17.76 kg ha⁻¹) and high available potassium (480 kg ha⁻¹). Initial soil samples were collected randomly from the experimental field, soil analysis was done by adopting standard procedures, and the SSNM recommendations were developed from soil test values and nutrient uptake requirements for the targeted yield of the crop. The experiment consisting of seven treatments was laid-out in a randomized complete block design with three replications. The treatments comprised viz. T₁- Absolute control (No NPK), T₂- State fertilizers recommendation (120-60-40 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O ha⁻¹), T₃- Improved nutrient recommendation (120-60-40 & 25 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O & ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), T₄- Site-Specific Nutrient Management (142-37-0 & 25 kg N-P₂O₅-K₂O & ZnSO₄ ha⁻¹), T₅- N omission (SSNM-N), T₆- P omission (SSNM-P) and T₇- K omission (SSNM-K). The nutrient levels for T₄ to T₇ treatments were calculated based on the QUEFTS model (Janssen *et al.* 1990) taking into account organic carbon and available P and K in the soil as well as targeted yield of 5t ha⁻¹ for using rice variety PRH 10. 1/3 dose of nitrogen, full dose of phosphorus, potassium and ZnSO₄ were applied at the time of transplanting as per the treatment in the form of urea for nitrogen, SSP for phosphorus, muriate of potash for potassium and ZnSO₄ for Zn. The first top dressing of N (one-third quantity) was applied at the tillering stage and second top dressing of N (one-third quantity) was applied at the panicle initiation stage. PRH-10 was transplanted during July with two seedlings per hill, with spacing of 20x10cm and harvested during the first week of November. Uniform cultural operations and plant protection measures were adopted in all the treatments. The observations on growth and yield parameters were recorded and the average of two years is reported and discussed.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Growth and yield attributes

Pooled data of two consecutive rainy seasons of 2008 and 2009 revealed that SSNM approach enhanced the plant height, number of effective tillers and panicles/hill and number of grains panicle⁻¹ (Table 1). Application of SSNM treatment (T₄) significantly increased plant height (107cm) 34, 29, and 15% over control, SSNM-N and state fertilizers recommendation, respectively. Similarly, maximum number of tillers (352 m⁻²) and panicle (340 m⁻²) and number of grains (150.30 panicle⁻¹) were recorded with the application of T₄ which significantly increased 62, 51, 29, and 11% number of tillers m⁻² and 62, 51, 35 and 18.9% number of panicles m⁻² over T₁, T₅, T₆ and T₂, respectively. However, number of grains/panicle increased significantly 46, 33, 16, and 14, higher over T₁, T₅, T₆ and T₂, respectively. The similar results observed by Peng *et al.*, 2006 those

found significantly increased average ear-bearing tiller rate (12.3%) and LAI for grain-filling stage (14.1-27.6%) and improved dry matter weight to application of nitrogen through SSNM approach over farmers field practices.

Yield

Application of nutrients based on SSNM approach significantly influenced the grain and straw yields (Table 2). Maximum grain yield (74q ha⁻¹) produced with the application of SSNM (T₄) that significantly increased 58, 55, 29 and 12% higher over T₁, T₅, T₆ and T₂, respectively. Similarly, straw yield gets highest (94q ha⁻¹) with the application of T₄ that was calculated significantly 51, 48, 21 and 12% superior over T₁, T₅, T₆ and T₂, respectively. The highest grain yield in T₄ could be attributed to higher number of yield attributes compared to rest treatments. Similarly, higher straw yields in T₄ could be attributed to more plant height (11-34%) and number of tillers m⁻² (10-62%) as compared to other treatments. Application of SSNM (T₄) recorded maximum harvest index (44.10%) that significantly superior to control (40.31%), SSNM-N (40.82%), SSNM-P (41.34%). The yield advantage through site-specific nutrient management (SSNM) over farmer practices and unbalance use of nutrient was reported by several workers (Timsina *et al.*, 2010, Jat *et al.*, 2011 and Nagegowda *et al.*, 2011). The harvest index may be attributable to higher grain yield because of increased dry matter accumulation in panicle and grains (Gangaiah and Prasad, 1999) which attributed to higher number of panicles hill⁻¹ and grains panicle⁻¹.

Economics

SSNM treatment added expenditure ranging from Rs. 497 to 3125 ha⁻¹ over state fertilizers' recommendation and control, respectively (Table 2). The additional expenditure generated an extra produce worth Rs. 13767 and 66595 ha⁻¹ to state fertilizer recommendation and control, respectively. The maximum B:C ratio (3.54) was recorded with the SSNM practice that means higher net return (Rs 70913) achieved due to get higher yield and judicious application of nutrient as compared to state fertilizer recommendation and control.

Agronomic efficiency

Agronomic efficiency (AE) expressed, as kg grain/kg nutrient was greater in SSNM treatment compared to state and improved fertilizer recommendation (Table 2). Agronomic efficiency of nitrogen under SSNM treatment was recorded (52.11kg rice/kg N) that range from 36.62-55.44 kg rice/kg N. Whereas, maximum agronomy efficiency of P was recorded 200 kg rice/kg P was that range from 89.78- 200 kg rice/kg P₂O₅. However, Potash has not applied in SSNM treatment because its availability is higher in soil. Total agronomy efficiency was recorded with

SSNM Treatment (252.11 kg rice/kg NP) which range from 162-260.54kg rice/kg N and P_2O_5 . Agronomy efficiency was also increased with $ZnSO_4$ application.

Nutrient uptake

A perusal of table 3 shows that maximum nitrogen uptake by grain, straw and grain straw was recorded in SSNM treatment which significantly superior over control, SSNM-N, SSNM-P and state fertilizers recommendation. The N uptake by grain (91.02 kg ha^{-1}) in SSNM treatment increased 18, 34, 59, and 66 % over state fertilizer recommendation, SSNM-P, SSNM-N, and control treatments, respectively. Similarly, maximum N uptake (67.54 kg/ha) by straw under SSNM treatment that was also significantly 20, 23, 60 and 62 % higher over state fertilizer recommendation, SSNM-P, SSNM-N, and control, respectively. The total uptake of N by grain and straw was recorded maximum (158 kg ha^{-1}) in SSNM treatment which significantly 13, 19, 29, 59 and 63% superior over T_3 , T_2 , T_6 , T_5 and T_1 , respectively. The increased nitrogen uptake by grain, straw and grain+straw might be due to the improved concurrent between plant N demand and supply by soil and amount of nitrogen application. The nitrogen application increased under SSNM approach to soil to be increased N supply to plant to get higher content and enhanced the yield. Similar, result was reported by nagegowda *et al*, 2011 those observed N uptake enhanced due to synchrony between demands of plant and supply from soil. Phosphorous uptake by plant was recorded maximum (38.00 kg ha^{-1}) under SSNM treatment that significantly increased 25, 52, 58, and 67% higher over T_2 , T_6 , T_5 , and T_1 , respectively. This uptake might be correlated with yield and phosphorus application. Similar finding were reported by Dobermann *et al*, 2002. Maximum potash uptake ($152.52 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$) was recorded with SSNM treatment which also at par with SSNM-K treatment. It was not found limiting nutrient to production due to highly available in soil and adequate supply to plant. These results shown the maximum nutrient uptakes govern by nitrogen supply from soil and fertilizer to plant because nitrogen is most limiting factor due experiment conducted on low available N in soil.

CONCLUSION

On the basis, two years data may be concluded that the site- specific nutrient management approach provides nutrients in adequate responded the plant need compared that ultimately has reflected in terms

of grain yield. This also economic practice compared blanket and improves recommendations.

REFERENCES

- Buresh, R.J, Pampolino, M.F, Witt, C. (2010) Field-specific potassium and phosphorus balances and fertilizer requirement for irrigated rice-based cropping systems. *Plant Soil* 335:35–64.
- Dobermann, A, Cassman, K.G, Sta. Cruz, PC, Adviento. M.A, Pampolino, M.F (1996) Fertilizer inputs, nutrient balance, and soil nutrient-supplying power in intensive, irrigated rice ecosystems. II. Effective soil K supplying capacity. *Nutr. Cycling Agroecosyst.* 46:11–21
- Dobermann, A, Witt,C.and Dawe, D. (2002). Performance of site-specific nutrient management in intensive rice cropping system in Asia. *Better crops Res.* 16(2):25-30.
- Gangaiah, B. and Prasad, R. (1999) Response of scented rice (*Oryza sativa*) to fertilizers. *Indian J. Agron.*, 44 (2): 294-296
- Janssen, B.H, Guiking, F.T, Van der Eijk, D, Smaling, E.M.A, Wolf, J, van Reuler, H. (1990). A system for quantitative evaluation of the fertility of tropical soils (QUEFTS). *Geoderma.* 46:299–318
- Jat, M. L, Saharawat, Y. S and Gupta, R. (2011).Conservation agriculture in cereal systems of South Asia: Nutrient management perspectives. *Karnataka J Agric Sci.* 24:100-105.
- Ladha, J.K., H. Pathak, T.J. Krupnik, J. Six, and C. van Kessel. (2005). Efficiency of fertilizer nitrogen in cereal production: retrospects and prospects. *Adv. Agronomy.* 87:85-156
- Nagegowda, N.S., Biradar, D.P. and Manjunath, B. (2011).Effect of site specific nutrient management (SSNM) on growth and yield of rice in Tungabhadra project area. *Int. J. Sci. Nat.* 2(1):144-146.
- Peng,S.B.,Buresh,R.J.,Huang,J.L.,Yang,J.C.,Zou, Y.B.,Zhong,X.Y., Wang,G.H. and Zhang,F.S. (2006). Strategies for overcoming low agronomic nitrogen use efficiency in irrigated rice system in China. *Field Crop Res.* 96 (1):37-47
- Timsina, J, Buresh, R.J, Dobermann, A, Dixon, J, Tabali, J. (2010). Strategic assessment of rice-maize systems in Asia. IRRICIMMYT Alliance Project “Intensified Production Systems in Asia (IPSA)”, IRRI-CIMMYT, Joint Report, IRRI, Los Banos, Philippines.
- Witt C, Dobermann A, Abdulrachman S, Gines H.C, Wang G, Nagarajan R, Satawatananont S, Son TT, Tan P.S, Tiem L.V, Simbahan G.C, Olk D.C. (1999) Internal nutrient efficiencies of irrigated lowland rice and in tropical and sub-tropical Asia. *Field Crops Res* 63:113–138.