

# ABUNDANCE OF ZOOPLANKTON POPULATION AND FISH PRODUCTION IN INTEGRATED FISH LIVESTOCK FARMING SYSTEMS

Arachana, A.P. Sharma\* and Malobika Das

S.V.B.P.Univ. of Ag. & Tech., Meerut, India

\* College of Fishery Science,

G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar, India

Received-16.10.2015, Revised-25.10.2015

**Abstract:** Zooplankton occupies a central position in the food webs of aquatic ecosystem. They do not only form an integral part of the lentic community but also contribute significantly, the biological productivity of the fresh water ecosystem. Very high zooplankton density was recorded in two integrated systems i.e. the duck-fish and chicken-fish integrated farming. The zooplankton population was analysed in terms of density, species composition, and seasonal abundance. Both the integrated ponds, supported luxurious population of zooplankton almost during the entire study period. A total of 22 and 25 species were recorded from duck-fish and chicken-fish integrated ponds, respectively. The total fish production recorded from the ponds was also high. The present findings suggest that integrated farming systems support large population of natural fish food organisms which results in high growth rate and overall production of fish.

**Keywords:** Fish production, Farming system, Population, Zooplankton

## INTRODUCTION

The importance of the zooplankton is well recognized as these have vital role in food chain and play a key role in cycling of organic matter in an aquatic ecosystem (Shailendra *et. al.*, 2010). Fresh water zooplankton is of utmost significance in aquaculture, as they form important source of food for most fish species (Mageed and Sonsawa 2002). Management practices have been evolved to produce the desired level of zooplankton under nursery and grow out phases of fish rearing. They are a valuable source of protein, amino acids, lipids, fatty acids and minerals for fish. The significance of zooplankton is further increased in the integrated farming systems, where no supplementary feed is provided to the fishes and fish growth depends primarily on natural fish food organisms, particularly the zooplankton. The zooplankton population has been widely investigated in fresh water ecosystems and under extensive/semi-intensive farming systems (Tavares *et. al.* 2011, Ikpi *et. al.* 2013). Integration of livestock with fish farming produces altogether different characteristics (Singh and Sharma 1998). The daily input of the excreta, wastes and spillover feed of birds might produce alterations in the zooplankton community and thus affect the growth rate and production of fish. The findings are reported in the paper.

## MATERIAL AND METHOD

The present investigation was carried out in two completely integrated, fish-duck and fish-chicken farming ponds. High growth variety of broiler

chicken (Bab Cock) and egg laying exotic variety of duck (Khaki Campbell) were used for integration with fish. The ducks and chicken were raised in wooden houses made-up of split bamboo, erected directly over the ponds. Both the ponds were stocked under poly-culture farming system of Indian major carps (*Labeo rohita*, *Catla Catla* and *Cirrhinus mrigala*) and exotic carps (*Cyprinus carpio*, *Hypophthalmichthys molitrix* and *Ctenopharyngodon idella*). The stocking proportion of different fish species is given in fig. 1. The stocking density of fish in both the ponds was 10,000 fingerlings/ha, while the stocking density of ducks and chicken was kept as 700 and 500 no. /ha, respectively. No fertilizer or manure was added in the integrated ponds; artificial feeding of fish was also not resorted to. Both ponds were managed as per standard farm management practices. Standard methods (APHA 1985) were used for the analysis of various physico-chemical the parameters. For the zooplankton community analysis, fifty liters of water was filtered, from different places in each pond, through plankton net made of bolting silk cloth no. 25. The collected sample was centrifuged for 15 minutes and concentrated samples were preserved in formol. The following equation was used to enumerate the zooplankton density (Welch 1948).

$$N = \frac{(a \times 1000) c}{l}$$


Where, N = density (ind/l)

a = mean number of zooplankton per counting unit of 1 mm<sup>2</sup>

l = volume of water filtered in litres

c = volume of concentrate

\*Corresponding Author



**Fig.1** Stocking proportion of different species in duck-fish and chicken-fish ponds.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The important physico-chemical parameters were analysed regularly during the study period. Table 1. Summarizes the mean annual range of the different parameters.

**Table 1.** Range of important physico-chemical parameters of pond water


Parameter	Duck fish pond	Chicken-fish pond
Water temperature ( $^{\circ}\text{C}$ )	16.0-32.5	16.0-32.5
Secchi transparency (cm)	23.5-40.0	18.0-26.5
pH	7.0-7.6	7.0-7.8
Dissolved oxygen (mg/l)	4.8-6.4	4.6-6.2
Free $\text{CO}_2$ (mg/l)	8.6-18.0	4.0-12.0
Alkalinity (mg/l)	165-210	80-220
$\text{NH}_3 - \text{N}$ (mg/l)	0.05-0.5	0.04-0.6
$\text{NO}_3 - \text{N}$ (mg/l)	0.04-0.50	0.11-0.56
$\text{PO}_4 - \text{P}$ (mg/l)	0.04-0.30	0.04-0.35

A critical analysis of the water quality reveals highly conducive conditions for the growth and survival of zooplankton and fish. Majority of physico-chemical variables are in favourable range. Both the ponds are rich in nutrients for sustaining large populations of zooplankton.

### Total zooplankton population

The total population density of zooplankton varied between 150.4 and 493.0 ind/l in duck-fish pond, while in chicken-fish pond it ranged from 172.0 to 756 ind/l (Fig 2). The lowest and highest population

was recorded in July and January, respectively in both the ponds. The zooplankton population in both the ponds remained low during the monsoon season, increasing during the pre-winter season and attained peak values in January. The zooplankton communities of the two ponds under present study were similar in terms of species composition and resemble to the tropical water bodies. Both the pond supported luxurious population of zooplankton. High zooplankton density in integrated fish-cum-duck farming has also been reported by Chauhan *et al.* (1998), Kumar *et al.* (2012).



**Fig.2.** Variation in total density of zooplankton in duck-fish and chicken-fish ponds.

**Species composition**

A total of 27 species were identified from both the integrated farming ponds. 17 species belonged to rotifera, 8 to cladocera while the copepoda was represented by only 2 species (Table 2).

**Table 2.** List of zooplankton species recorded from duck-fish and chicken-fish integrated ponds.

Name of species	Duck-fish pond	Chicken -fish pond
<i>Brachionus bidentata</i>	+	+
<i>B. calyciflorus</i>	+	+
<i>B. plicatilis</i>	+	+
<i>B. caudatus</i>	+	+
<i>B. angularis</i>	+	+
<i>B. quadridentatus</i>	+	-
<i>B. falcatus</i>	+	+
<i>B. diversicornis</i>	+	+
<i>B. havanensis</i>	-	+
<i>Philodina sp.</i>	+	+
<i>Polyarthra multiappendiculata</i>	+	+
<i>Notholca sp.</i>	+	+
<i>Asplanchna brightwelli</i>	+	+
<i>Filinia longiseta</i>	+	+
<i>Keratella tropica</i>	+	+
<i>Keratella procurva</i>	-	+
<i>Epiphanes sp.</i>	+	+
<i>Daphnia magna</i>	+	+
<i>D. pulex</i>	+	-
<i>D. lumholtzi</i>	-	+
<i>Bosminopsis sp.</i>	+	+
<i>Moina micrura</i>	+	+
<i>Alona sp.</i>	-	+
<i>Chydorus sphericus</i>	-	+
<i>Scapholeberis kingi</i>	+	+
<i>Mesocyclops hyalinus</i>	+	+
<i>Diaptomus sp.</i>	+	+

**+present, -absent**

Among the rotifers, the species belonging to genus *Brachionus* were the most common and dominant in both ponds with a total of 8 species. It is important to

note that *Brachionus spp* are commonly found in nutrient rich or heavily fertilized waters and are nutrient tolerant ( Singh et al. 1997, Rahman and Hussain 2008).

**Fish Production:**

Under duck-fish rearing, a gross fish production of 6154 kg/ha/yr was obtained at 700 no. /ha stocking density of ducks. *C.carpio*, with a production of 37.1% was the largest contributor, followed by silver

carp (25.9%). Chand *et. al.* also reported high fish production in duck fish integrated system with stocking density of ducks @400/ha. The details are given in table 3.1.

**Table 3.** Fish Production details in duck pond

Details	Fish Species					
	Catla	Rohu	Mrigal	S. Carp	G. Carp	C. Carp
Nos. stocked	100	126	70	200	75	140
Nos. harvested	86	108	58	180	66	124
Survival %	86	85.7	82.8	90	88	88.4
Initial average weight (g)	36.7	7.2	3.4	51.0	5.0	74.5
Final average weight (g)	230	225	245	520	614	451
Total weight harvest (kg)	29.65	22.920	13.500	88.819	60.690	127.200

Details	Duck-fish pond
Area of pond	0.0557 ha
Stocking density	10000 fingerlings / ha 700 ducks / ha
Production per pond / y	342.779 kg fish/y
Gross production / ha/y	6154 kg fish

In chicken fish integrated pond, gross fish production of 4483.2 was obtained (Table 3.2). Silver carp contributed maximum to the total fish production (25%). Common carp, mrigala, grass carp, rohu and catla contributed 21.14, 18.28, 13.29, 13.09 and 9.16%, respectively.

**Table 4.** Fish Production details in chicken-fish pond

Details	Fish Species					
	Catla	Rohu	Mrigal	S. Carp	G. Carp	C. Carp
Nos. stocked	56	106	120	90	48	78
Nos. harvested	43	95	107	79	36	65
Survival %	76.78	89.6	89.1	81.8	75	83.3
Initial average weight (g)	41.6	6.4	4.2	11.8	4.0	70.5
Final average weight (g)	200	106	157	220	225	298
Total weight harvest (kg)	13.81	19.7	27.5	37.67	20.015	31.9

Details	Chicken-fish pond
Area of pond	0.0336 ha
Stocking density	12000 fingerlings / ha 500 chicken / ha
Production in pond	150.638 kg
Gross production / ha/yr	4483.2 kg fish / ha / yr

**Table 5.** Fish Production details in control pond

Details	Fish Species					
	Catla	Rohu	Mrigal	S. Carp	G. Carp	C. Carp
Nos. stocked	40	80	40	120	40	80
Nos. harvested	36	75	35	105	35	75
Survival %	90.0	93.8	87.5	87.5	87.5	93.8
Initial average weight (g)	40.5	10.2	9.5	21.2	90.0	40.8
Final average weight (g)	215	140	155	350	451	430
Total weight harvest (kg)	15.5	21.0	10.8	79.8	37.7	64.5
Details	Control pond					
Area of pond	0.05 ha					
Stocking density	8000 fingerlings / ha					
Production per pond	223.3 kg					
Gross production / ha/yr	4466.0					

## CONCLUSION

In light of the above it can be concluded that nutrient rich integrated fish livestock farming systems produce large populations of zooplankton and are sustainable in terms of food availability to the fish cultured. The quality of the zooplankton produced is also good and suitable for fish growth. As a result the growth of fish and the total fish production is higher as compared to the ponds without livestock integration, even without any kind of fertilization and supplementary feeding. Fish grow rapidly in tropical waters and if natural fish food i.e. plankton, produced due to nutrient rich wastes, replaces the need for expensive supplementary feed, the cost of production could be minimized.

## REFERENCES

**APHA** (1985). Standard methods for examination of water and waste water. 16<sup>th</sup> ed. American Public Health Association. New York.

**Chand, B. K., Goswami, A., Biswas, P. K., Biswas, P. and Patra, B. C.** (2006). Effects of stocking levels of ducks on production of Indian Major Carps in village ponds under duck-fish integrated system in West Bengal state of India. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 18(6). Retrieved October 12, 2010, from <http://www.lrrd.org/lrrd18/1/chan18006.htm>

**Chauhan, R.S., Sharma, A.P. and Singh, U.P.** (1998). Recycling of duck Excreta and its impact on fish production. *Him. J. Env. Zool.* 12: 43-48.

**Ikipi, Gabriel Vjong, Benedict Obeten Offem and Irom, Bassey Okey** (2013). Plankton distribution and diversity in tropical earthen fish ponds. *Env. and Natural Resources Research*. 3 (3).

**Yaswanth, Kumar, J., Chari, M S and Vardia, H K** (2012). Effect of integrated fish-duck farming on growth performance and economic efficiency of Indian major carps. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 24 (12).

**Mageed, A. Adel and Sonsowa, H.** (2002). Relationship between phytoplankton, zooplankton and fish culture in fresh water fish farms. *Egypt. J. Aquat. Biol. & Fish.* 6 (2): 183-202.

**Sharman, Rahman and Afzal Hussain, M.** (2008). A study on the abundance of zooplankton of a culture and non culture pond of Rajshahi University campus. *Univ. j. Zool. Rajshahi Univ.* 27: 35-41.

**Sharma, A.P., Singh, U.P., Chauhan, R.S. and Archana** (2001). An appraisal of integrated fish-livestock farming in tarai region. 215-230 pp. *In: Singh U.P., R.S. Chauhan and A.P Sharma* (eds). Proc. National Seminar on Fish Health Management and Sustainable Aquaculture. 1-2 November, College of Fishery Sciences, Pantnagar.

**Singh, C.S. and Sharma, A.P.** (1991). Energy flow with a perspective to fish production in Nanak Sagar reservoir. Final Report, ICAR project, Mimeo, 100 p.

**Singh, V.K. and Sharma, A.P.** (1998). Community structure of plankton in fish ponds manured with 3 organic manures. *Him. J. Env. Zool.* 12:91-98.

**Sharma, Shailendra; Siddique, Anis; Singh, Karam; Chouhan, Meenakshi; Vyas, Amrit; Solnki, C.M.** (2010). Population Dynamics and Seasonal Abundance of Zooplankton Community in Narmada River (India). *Researcher.* 2(9): 1-9.

**Tavares Sipaubá, L.H., Donadon, A.R.V and Milan, R.N.** (2011). Water quality and plankton populations in an earthen polyculture pond. *Braz. J. Biol.* 71(4), Saco Carlos.

**Welch, P.S.** (1952). Limnology. McGraw Hill Co., New York: 511p.

