EFFECT OF PLANTING GEOMETRY AND INTERCROPPING BASED STRATEGY ON PRODUCTIVITY AND FALL ARMYWORM MANAGEMENT IN WINTER MAIZE (ZEA MAYS L.)

Selorita, Minu Mohan and A.K. Sinha*

Agronomy, RMD College of Agriculture & Research Station, Indira Gandhi KrishiVishwavidyalaya, Ambikapur, Surguja, Chhattisgarh -497001 Email: amitsinhaagri@yahoo.co.in

Received-04.10.2021, Revised-21.10.2021, Accepted-28.10.2021

Abstract: A field experiment to explore the potential of maize and legume based intercropping systems under different treatments conducted during *Rabi* season of 2020-21 to evaluate the effect of eight treatments via. maize sole (70 x20 cm), maize + fenugreek (1:1), maize + coriander (1:1), maize + garlic (1:1), maize sole (50/90 cm), paired row maize + fenugreek (2:2) (50/90 cm), paired row maize + coriander (2:2) (50/90 cm) and paired row maize + garlic (2:2) (50/90 cm) on productivity and fall army worm management which were laid out in factorial block design with 3 replications. Row arrangement system significantly influenced the growth and yield of maize and paired row system was found superior over regular row. All the intercropping systems significantly influenced growth and yield attributing features of maize and where maize + coriander intercropping was superior over maize + fenugreek, maize + garlic and sole maize for yield attributes and cob and grain yield of maize. While, maximum system productivity was obtained in paired row which was found significantly superior over regular row and maize + garlic intercropping was significantly superior over maize + fenugreek, maize + coriander and sole maize. The lowest FAW damage was also recorded with the paired row over the regular row. The maize + coriander intercropping system recorded minimum FAW damage over the rest of the intercropping system. Paired row + garlic had higher net return and B: C ratio found statistically comparable with paired row + fenugreek.

Keywords: Maize, Intercropping, System productivity, B:C ratio, Fall armyworm

REFERENCES

Acharya, G.P. and Koirala, K.B. (2004). Crop planting geometry manipulation in maize and soybean intercropping explores higher farmer's income in the hills. *Proceedings of National Conference on Science and Technology*, 97-102.

Clark, P. L., Molina-Ochoa, J., Martinelli, S., Skoda, S. R. and Foster, J. E. (2007). Population variation of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith) in the Western Hemisphere. *J. Insect Sci.* 7:1–10.

Gomez, K. A. and Gomez, A. A. (1984). Statistical Procedure for Agricultural Research. John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 680p.

Kheroar, S. and Patra, B.C. (2014). Productivity of maize-legume intercropping systems under rainfed situation. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*. 9(20): 1610-1617.

Li, S.M., Li, L., Zhang, F.S. and Tang, C. (2004). Acid phosphatase role in chickpea/maize intercropping. *Ann. Bot.* 94(2): 297-303.

Nabity, P. D., Zangerl, A. R., Berenbaum, M. R. and Delucia, E. H. (2011). Bioenergy Crops Miscanthus Xgiganteus and *Panicum virgatum* Reduce Growth and Survivorship of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*. 104(2): 459-64.

Pogue, M.G. (2002). A world revision of the genus Spodoptera Guenée:(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae).

Rao, M.S., Rama Rao, C.A., Srinivas, K., Pratibhad, G., VidyaSekhare, S.M., Sree Vanif, G. and Venkateswarlug, B. (2012). Intercropping for management of insect pests of castor, *Ricinuscommunis*, in the semi–arid tropics of India. *Journal of Insect Science*. 12:14.

Tripathi, A.K., Kumar, A. and Nath, S. (2010). Production potential and monetary advantage of winter maize (*Zea mays*) –based intercropping systems under irrigated conditions in central Uttar Prades. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 80 (2): 125–8.

*Corresponding Author