PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFITABILITY OF INDIAN MUSTARD (BRASSICA JUNCEA L.) UNDER SULPHUR LEVELS AND WEED MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Rajesh Kumar Singh*

Department of Agronomy, Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Uttar Pradesh, India Email: rajeshsingh.bhu@gmail.com

Received-06.05.2017, Revised-18.05.2017

Abstract: Field investigations were carried out during winter seasons of 2015-16 and 2016-17 at Varanasi to evaluate the effect of sulphur levels and weed management practices on density and dry matter of weeds and crop-weed competition for sulphur in Indian mustard (Brassica juncea (L.) Czernj and Cosson). Amongst sulphur levels, minimum weed density and dry matter production was recorded with the application of 60 kg S/ha which was found to be significantly superior to other sulphur treatments. Amongst weed management treatments, the minimum weed density and weed dry matter production was observed with pendimethalin (0.75 kg/ha) + Hand weeding (HW) at 30 DAS and was at par with the hand weeding twice during both the years, and in second year this was statistically similar to oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg/ha) and oxadiargyl (0.1 kg/ha). More seed yield was observed with 60 kg S/ha (2.19 t/ha) in first year, and in second year more yield was associated with 40 kg S/ha (2.07 t/ha). During the first year, maximum seed yield was registered with oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg/ha) amongst herbicidal treatments, and was at par with all treatments except weedy check, fluchloralin (0.75 kg/ha) and oxyfluorfen (0.15 kg/ha), and in the second year highest seed yield was recorded with the hand weeding twice (20 and 40 DAS), and was statistically at par with the oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg/ha), pendimethalin (0.75 kg/ha) + HW at 30 DAS and oxadiargyl (0.1 kg/ha). In main plot treatments, the least nutrient uptake by weeds was recorded with the application of 60 kg S/ha. Within sub-plot treatments, the least nutrient depletion by weed was registered with hand weeding twice during both the years of data and was at par with pendimethalin (0.75 kg/ha) + HW at 30 DAS. Economics revealed that application of 60 kg S/ha gave the maximum net return (`19,380). However, highest benefit: cost ratio (2.03) was registered with the application of 40 kg S/ha. The highest net return (19,950) was observed with the hand weeding twice (19,950/ha), and was followed by application of pendimethalin (0.75 kg/ha) + HW at 30 DAS (* 19,850/ha). Maximum benefit: cost ratio (2.06) was recorded with the application of oxyfluorfen (0.2 kg/ha) and was closely followed by pendimethalin (0.75 kg/ha) + HW at 30 DAS (1.91).

Keywords: Economics, Sulphur level, Mustard, Nutrient uptake, Weed management, Yield

REFERENCES

Amanullah, Muhammad Hassan & Malhi, S.S. (2011). Phenology and seed quality response of rape (*B. napus*) versus mustard (*B. juncea*) to sulphur and potassium fertilization in northwest Pakistan. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **34**: 1175-1185.

Aulakh, M.S. & Pasricha, N.S. (1988). Sulphur fertilization of oilseeds for yield and quality. Sulphur in Indian Agriculture, S II-13-14.

Bhowmik, T.P. (2003) Oilseed Brassicas: Constraints and their Management. 1st Edition, *CBS* publishers and distributors, New Delhi, 254 p.

DRMR. (2011). VISION-2030. Directorate of Rapeseed-Mustard Research (DRMR), Bharatpur 321303, Rajasthan. pp. 30.

Hegde, D.M. (2009). Frontline Demonstrations in Oilseeds: Achievement and Impact (2002-2003 to 2006-2007). Directorate of Oilseed Research (ICAR), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, India.

Holmes, M.R.J. (1980). Nutrition of the Oilseed Rape Crops, Applied Science Publishers, Essex, UK, 1980

Jackson, M.L. (1973). *Soil Chemical Analysis*, *Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd.*, New Delhi, 498 p.

Katyal, J.C.; Sharma, K.L. & Srinivas, K. (1997). Sulphur in Indian Agriculture. Proceedings of the

TFI/FAI/IFA Symposium on sulphur in Balanced Fertilisation, KS-2/12.

Kaur, R.; Sharma, B.C.; Kumar, A. & Kour, P. (2013). Nutrient uptake by chickpea + mustard intercropping system as influenced by weed management. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*, **45**(3): 183-188.

Donovan, O.J.T.; Blackshaw, R.E.; Harker, K.N.; Clayton, G.W.; Moyer, J.R.; Dosdall, L.M.; Maurice, D.C. & Turkington, T.K. (2007). Integrated approaches to managing weeds in springsown crops in western Canada. *Crop Protection*, **26**: 390-398.

Puri, I. & Sharma, S.N. (2006). Effect of levels and sources of sulphur on yield attributes, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*). *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **51** (3): 217-220.

Rana, K.S.; Rana, D.S. & Gautam, R.C. (2005). Influence of phosphorus, sulphur and boron on growth, yield and nutrient uptake and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) under rainfed condition. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **50** (4): 314-316

Sah, D.; Bohra, J.S. & Shukla, D.N. (2006). Effect of N, P and S on growth attributes of and nutrient uptake by Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern & Coss). *Crop Research*, **31**(1): 52-55.

*Corresponding Author

Shekhawat, K.; Premi, O.P.; Kandpal, B.K. & Chauhan, J.S. (2012). Advances in agronomic management of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* (L.) Czern and Coss.): an overview. *International Journal of Agronomy*, **13**:1-14.

Tandon, H.L.S. (1986). Sulphur Research and Agricultural Production in India, Fertilizer Development and Consultation Organization, New Delhi, India, 2nd Edition, 1986.

Tripathi, M.K.; Chaturvedi, S.; Shukla, D.K. & Saini, S.K. (2011). Influence of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and quality of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) in terai region of north India. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, **7:** 104-107.

Wicks, G.A.; Burnside, O.C. & Felton, W.L. (2012). Mechanical weed management, In: *Handbook of Weed Management Systems.* (Ed. Smith AE), *Marcel Dekkers Inc.*, New York, USA.