## RESPONSE OF PHOSPHORUS AND WEED CONTROL MEASURES ON YIELD AND YIELD CONTRIBUTING CHARACTERS OF CHICKPEA (CICER ARIETINUM L.)

Prem Nath<sup>1</sup>, Satendra Kumar<sup>2</sup>, J.K. Verma<sup>3</sup>, Amar Nath<sup>4</sup> and Arvind Kumar<sup>5</sup>

Department of Agronomy, Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology Kumarganj, Faizabad

<sup>1</sup>Email: nath.prem81@gmail.com

Abstract: The field experiment was conducted during the rabi season of 2005-06 at Agronomy Research Farm at Narendra Deva University of Agriculture and Technology, Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj) Faizabad, U.P. to, study the "Effect of phosphorus and weed control measures on growth and yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)" variety udai (KPG-59). Sixteen-treatment combinations comprised of four levels of phosphorus (control, 20, 40 and 60 kg P2 O5 ha-1) and four treatment of weed control measures (weedy check, Hand weeding at 30 DAS, pendimethline at 1 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> and rice straw mulch) were tested in Randomized Block design with three replications. Growth and yield attributes as well as root length, number of take were affected significantly due to increase the phosphorus levels. However, weed density and weed dry weight were decreased significantly with increasing levels of root nodules and nodules dry weight, nitrogenase activity and nitrogen and phosphorus up phosphorus. Among the weed control measures, hand weeding at 30 DAS found promising to reduce the weed density as well as weed dry weight. Hand weeding at 30 DAS proved its superiority over other methods of weed control in respect of all the growth characters and yield attributes as well as grain and straw yield of chickpea crop followed by pendimethline at 1.0 kg ha-1. On the basis of economics the highest net return was recorded under the effect of Hand weeding at 30 DAS alone has been found most remunerative which was recorded the highest net income rupee invested of Rs 3.52

**Keyword:** Chickpea, phosphorus levels, weeds control measures

## REFERENCES

**Ali, M. Kumar, S. and singh N.B.** (2003). Chickpea research of India. *Indian. Institute of Pulse Res.*, pp.99-118.

Amar Nath, Tripathi, P.N. and Rajkumar (2004). Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and Rhizobium inoculation on growth, yield, nutrient uptake and quality of fababean. *Ann. Pl. soil Res.* 6 (1):95-96.

Bahadur, M.M., Ashrofuzaman, M. Kabir, M.A., Chaudhary, M.F. and Majumdar, D.A.N. (2002).Response of chickpea varieties to different levels of phosphorus. *Crop Res.*, *Hisar*23 (3): 293-299

**Chaudhary, R.S., Patnaik, U.S. and Das, A.** (2003). Efficacy at mulches in covering monsoonal moisture for rabi crops. *J. Indian society of soil sciences*, 51 (4): 495-498.

**Enania, A.R. and Vyas, A.K.** (1995).Response of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) to phosphorus and zink fertilization in calcareous soil of Rajasthan.*Indian J.Agron.* 40 (4): 704-706.

Khan, M.S.S., Abdul, L., Muhammad, R., Tahir, S. andLatufat, P. (2005). Effect of seed inoculation and phosphorus fertilizer on yield of chickpea in semi-arid areas of NWFP. *Indian J. plant Sci.*, 4 (4): 591-594.

Meena, L.R., Singh, R.K. and Gautam, R.C. (2003). Yield and nutrient uptake of chickpea

(*Cicerarietinum* L.) as influenced by moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation. *Legume*, *Res.* 26 (2) 109-112.

Meena, L.R., Singh, R.K. and Gautam, R.C. (2006). Effect of moisture conservation practices, phosphorus levels and bacterial inoculation on growth and economics of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum L.) Legume, Res.* 29 (1):68-72.

**Parihar, S.S.** (1990). Yield and water requirement of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L) as influenced by irrigation and phosphorus. *Indian J. Agron.* 35 (3): 251-257.

**Pyare, Ram and Dwiwedi, D.P.** (2005). Yield economics and quality of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) as affected by row spacing and phosphorus doses under limited irrigation.

Saraf C.S., Shiva Kumar,B.G. and patil, R.R. (1997). Effect of phosphorus, sulphur and seed inoculation on performance of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.).*Indian J. Agron.* 42 (2): 323-328. Saini, S.S. and Faroda, A.S. (1998).Response of chickpea (*Cicerarietinum* L.) genotype H.86-143 to seed rates and fertility levels.*Indian J. Agron.*43 (1): 90-94.

**Shekhawat, M.S. and Sharma, P.K.** (1988). Chemical weed control in chickpea. *Indian J. weed sci.* 20 (2): 102-103.

Journal of Plant Development Sciences Vol. 6 (3): 437-441. 2014