

COST EVALUATION OF PESTICIDE AGAINST MAJOR PEST COMPLEX OF PADDY CROP IN DHAMTARI DISTRICT OF CHHATTISGARH

Randeep Kr Kushwaha*¹, Vijay Kr Koshta², Sanjay Sharma², Jaya Laximi Ganguli²
and Padmesh Kundan Sharma¹

¹ Department of Agriculture, C.G. Govt., Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India- 492 012

² Department of Entomology, CoA, IGKV, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India- 492 012

Email: rndp2010@gmail.com

Received-18.12.2015, Revised-25.12.2015

Abstract: The study was carried out at the prone area of different villages in Dhamtari district of Chhattisgarh. During 2009, the average cost of pesticides against major pest complex of paddy was ranged from Rs. 606.94 to 12.00. The maximum cost of the pesticides against SB (Rs. 606.94) was recorded followed by HC (Rs. 278.19) and minimum (Rs.12.00) in GB with the cost of share was 47.77, 21.89 and 0.94 percent, respectively. Whereas, during 2010, the average cost of pesticides was ranged from Rs. 574.64 to 12.00. The maximum cost of the pesticides (Rs. 574.64) was recorded followed by LF (Rs. 338.55) and minimum (Rs.12.00) in GB with the cost of share was 42.19, 24.85 and 0.88 percent, respectively. Pooled pesticide cost of major pest complex was ranged from Rs. 590.79 to 12.00. The maximum cost (Rs. 590.79) was recorded against SB followed by HC (Rs.277.31) and minimum (Rs. 12.00) in GB with the cost of share was 44.88, 21.07 and 0.91 percent, respectively. Descending order of the average pesticide cost of major pest complex in paddy crop can be ranked as GM<GB<CW<O<HC<SB. On the basis of information collected from the contact farmer through personal interview, some possible reasons come out which may be the maximum respondents invested cost against SB followed by HC on paddy cultivation which causes major problems in that area and occurring every season which causing a perceptible damage to rice.

Keywords: Paddy cultivation, Pesticides, Cost and return, Plant protection cost, Pest complex of paddy return

REFERENCES

Dash, A.N.; Mukherjee, S.K. and Sontakee, B. K., (2006). Evaluation of integrated pest management (IPM) components on irrigated rice. *Indian J. Entomol.*, **68(2)**: 171-173.

David and Pimentel (2005). Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in United States. *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, **7**: 229-252.

Jena, B. C. and Patnaik, N. C., (1983). Incidence of rice gall midge at Bhubaneswar, Orissa, India. *Int. Rice. Newsl.*, **8(3)**: 12.

Khan, I. and Khaliq, A. (1989). Field evaluation of some granular insecticides for the control of rice stem borers. *Pak. J. Sci. Ind Res.*, **32(12)**: 824.

Kushwaha, K. S., (1995). Chemical control of Rice stem borer, *Scirpaphaga incertulas* (Walker) and leaf folder *Cnaphalocrocis medinalis* Guenee on Basmati. *J. Insect Sci.*, **8(2)**: 225-226.

Saljoqi, A.U.R.; Khan, M.; Abdullah, K. and Latif, A., (2002). Evaluation of Fepronil for the management of rice stem borer. *Sarhad J. Agric.*, **18(1)**: 59-61.

Sarkar, D.; Datta, V. and Chattopadhyay, K. S. (2013). Assessment of Pre and Post- Harvest Losses

in Rice and Wheat in West Bengal, *AERC*, Visva-Bharati Santiniketan, 2013, p-6.

Satpathi, C.R.; Mukhopadhyay, A. K.; Katti, G.; Pasalu, I.C. and Venkateswarlu, B., (2005). Quantification of the role of natural biological control in farmers' rice field in West Bengal. *Indian J. Entomol.*, **67(3)**: 211-213.

Shende, N. V. and Bagde, N. T. (2013). Economic consequences of pesticides use in paddy cultivation. *J. of AIJRHASS*, **4 (1)**, Sept-Nov, 2013, pp 25-33.

Singh, A.; Ranjit, K.; Das, D. K. and Jain, P. K., (2004). Economic and environmental impact of integrated pest management in paddy: A case study of Haryana, *Agril. Economics Research Review*, **17**: 69-84.

Wakil, W.; Hussain, M.; Akbar, R. and Gulzar, A. (2001). Evaluation of different insecticides against rice stem borer and rice leaf folder. *Pak. J. Agric. Sci.*, **38**: 49-50.

Way, M. J. and Heong, K.L., (1994). The role of biodiversity in the dynamics and management of insect-pests of tropical irrigated rice-a review. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **84**: 567-587.

*Corresponding Author