FINE ROOT BIOMASS AND SOIL PHYSICO-CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN ACHANAKMAR-AMARKANTAK BIOSPHERE RESERVE

D.K. Yadav*

University Teaching Department, Department of Farm Forestry, Sant Gahira Guru Vishwavidyalaya, Sarguja, Ambikapur-497001 (C.G.), INDIA Email: dheerajforestry@gmail.com

Received-04.02.2019, Revised-23.02.2019

Abstract: The present study was aimed to assess the fine root biomass and soil physico-chemical properties in Achanakmar-Amarkantak Biosphere Reserve. Four sites characterized by varying vegetation attribute and representative of the region were selected. The belowground plant material (stand fine roots < 5 mm diameter) was sampled from 10 monoliths (15 x 15 x 15 cm) on each site. Proportions of live and dead fine roots were estimated on the basis of visual observations such as colour, texture, etc. Sample were dried at 80° C to constant weight and weighed. Fine root biomass varied between 0.95 - 3.85 t ha⁻¹, respectively Organic C in soil ranged from 0.62 - 2.1 %, total N from 0.06 - 0.18 % and total P from 0.029 - 0.037 %. Available Pi ranged from 0.0002 - 0.00028 %. The exchangeable K ranged between 0.025 - 0.288 %. The short-lived components of the ecosystem viz., foliage, herbs and fine roots play a significant and dominant role in the functioning (relative contribution to nutrient cycling) of the present tropical deciduous forest.

Keywords: Fine root biomass, Nutrient cycling, Physico-chemical properties, Soil sample, Tropical deciduous forest

REFERENCES

Block, R.M.A., Rees, K.C.J. and Knight, J. D. (2006). A review of fine root dynamics in Populus plantations. *Agrofor. Sys.*, 67:73–84.

Bohm, W. (1979). *Methods of Studying Root Systems*. Springer-Verlag, New York.

Brassard, B.W., Chen, H.Y.H. and Bergeron, Y. (2009). Influence of environmental variability on root dynamics in northern forests. *Crit. Rev. Plant Sci.*, 28:179–197.

Burke, I.C. (1989). Control of nitrogen mineralization in a sage-brush steppe landscape. *Ecology*, 70:1115-1126.

Champion, H.G. and Seth, S.K. (1968). A Revised Survey of the Forest Types of India. Government of India Publications, New Delhi, 404p.

Cairns, M.A., Brown, S., Helmer, E.H. and Baumgardner, G.A. (1997). Root biomass allocation in the world's upland forests. *Oecologia*, 111:1–11.

Chauhan, D.S., Singh, B., Chauhan, S., Dhanai, C.S. and Todaria, N.P. (2010). Regeneration and plant diversity of natural and planted sal (*Shorea robusta* Gaertn.F.) forests in the Terai Bhabhar of Sohagibarwa Wildlife Sanctuary, India. *Journal of American Science*, 6(3):32-45.

Jackson, M.L. (1958). Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Inc. USA, 498pp.

Jackson, R.B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J.R., Mooney, H.A., Sala, O.E. and Schulze, E.D. (1996). global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia*, 108:389–411.

Janmahasatien, S. and Phopinit, S. (2001). Evaluation of soil carbon storage in forest ecosystem of Thailand. pp. 45-74.

Jhariya, M.K. and Yadav, D.K. (2018). Biomass and carbon storage pattern in natural and plantation forest ecosystem of Chhattisgarh, India. *Journal of Forest and Environmental Science*, 34(1):1-11. DOI: 10.7747/JFES.2018.34.1.1.

Jhariya, M.K., Banerjee, A., Meena, R.S. and Yadav, D.K. (2019). Sustainable Agriculture, Forest and Environmental Management. Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd., 152 Beach Road, #21-01/04 Gateway East, Singapore 189721, Singapore. eISBN: 978-981-13-6830-1, Hardcover ISBN: 978-981-13-6829-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-981-13-6830-1. Pp. 605.

Jhariya, M.K. (2014). Effect of forest fire on microbial biomass, storage and sequestration of carbon in a tropical deciduous forest of Chhattisgarh. *Ph.D. Thesis*, I.G.K.V., Raipur (C.G.), pp. 259.

Jordan, C.F. (1983). Productivity of tropical rain forest ecosystems and the implications for their use as future wood and energy sources. In: Golley, F.B. (ed.) Ecosystems of the World. Tropical Rain Forest Ecosystems, pp. 117-136. Elsevier, Amsterdam, NL.

Joslin, J.D., Wolfe, M.H. and Hanson, P.J. (2000). Effects of altered water regimes on forest root systems. New Phytol., 147, 117–129.

Kalyn, A.L. and Van Rees, K.C.J. (2006). Contribution of fine roots to ecosystem biomass and net primary production in black spruce, aspen, and jack pine forests in Saskatchewan. *Agri. For. Meteor.*, 140:236–243.

Kaul, O.N. and Sharma, D.C. (1971). Forest types statistics. *Indian Forester*, 97:432-436.

Leuschner, C. and Hertel, D. (2003). Fine root biomass of temperate forests in relation to soil acidity and fertility, climate, age and species. In: Progress in Botany. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 405–438.

*Corresponding Author

Nadelhoffer, K.J. (2000). The potential effects of nitrogen deposition on fine-root production in forest ecosystems. *New Phytol.*, 147:131–139.

Norby, R.J. and Jackson, R.B. (2000). Root dynamics and global change: seeking an ecosystem perspective. *New Phytol.*, 147:3-12.

Pawar, G.V., Singh, L., Jhariya, M.K. and Sahu, K.P. (2012). Regeneration status in relation to anthropogenic disturbance in tropical deciduous forest of Chhattisgarh. *The Ecoscan*, (Special Issue) 1:281-285.

Pawar, G.V., Singh, L., Jhariya, M.K. and Sahu, K.P. (2014). Assessment of Diversity along the Disturbance Gradient in Dry Tropics of Chhattisgarh, India. *The Ecoscan*, 8(3&4):225-233.

Piper, C.S. (1950). Soil and Plant Analysis. Inter Science Publication, Inc. Adelaid, Australia. 386 p.

Pregitzer, K.S., King, J.A., Burton, A.J. and Brown, S.E. (2000). Responses of tree fine roots to temperature. *New Phytol.*, 147:105–115.

Sahu, K.P., Singh, L. and Jhariya, M.K. (2013). Fine root biomass, forest floor and nutrient status of soil in an age series of teak plantation in dry tropics. *The Bioscan*, 8(4): 1149-1152.

Sahu, P.K., Sagar, R. and Singh, J.S. (2008). Tropical forest structure and diversity in relation to altitude and disturbance in a Biosphere Reserve in central India. *Applied Vegetation Science*, 11:461-470.

Singh, G. and Singh, B. (2002). Changes in soil properties and foliage nutrient composition in different age classes of *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* plantation. *Journal Tropical Forest Science*, 14(3):346-356.

Singh, G., Gupta, G.N. and Kuppusamy, V. (2000). Seasonal variation in organic carbon and nutrient availability in arid zone agroforestry system. *Tropical Ecology*, 41(1):17-23.

Sparling, G.P., Karina, N., Whale, K.N. and Ramsay, A.J. (1985). Quantifying the contribution

from the soil microbial biomass to the extractable P levels of fresh and air dried soils. *Australian J. Soil Res.*, 23:613-621.

Tangsinmankong, W., Pumijumnong, N. and Moncharoen, L. (2007). Carbon stocks in soil of mixed deciduous forest and teak plantation. *Environment and Natural Resources Journal*, 5(1):80-86.

Vogt, K.A., Grier, C.C. and Vogt, D.J. (1986). Production, turnover, and nutrient dynamics of aboveground and belowground detritus of world forests. *Adv. Ecol. Res.*, 15:303–377.

Vogt, K.A., Vogt, D.J., Palmiotto, P.A., Boon, P., Ohara, J. and Asbjornsen, H. (1996). Review of root dynamics in forest ecosystems grouped by climate, climatic forest type and species. *Plant Soil*, 187:159–219.

Wells, C.E. and Eissenstat, D.M. (2001). Marked differences in survivorship among apple roots of different diameters. *Ecology*, 82:882-892.

Yadav, D.K. (2018). Litterfall Pattern and Forest Floor Biomass in Achanakmar-Amarkantak Biosphere Reserve, India. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences*, 7(6):45-52.

Yadav, D.K., Ghosh, L. and Jhariya, M.K. (2017). Forest Fragmentation and Stand Structure in Tropics: Stand Structure, Diversity and Biomass. Lap Lambert Academic Publishing. Heinrich-Bocking-Str. 6-8, 66121, Saarbrucken, Germany. Pp. 116. ISBN: 978-3-330-05287-1.

Yadav, D.K. (2016). Species structure and diversity in Achanamar-Aarkantak Biosphere Reserve, Central India. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 8(3):1241-1248.

Yuan, Z.Y. and Chen, H.Y.H. (2010). Fine Root Biomass, Production, Turnover Rates, and Nutrient Contents in Boreal Forest Ecosystems in Relation to Species, Climate, Fertility, and Stand Age: Literature Review and Meta-Analyses. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 29:204–221.